# A quantitative study of the relationship between academic achievement and the developmental study of vocal and instrumental music 

James R. Ponter<br>Rowan University

Follow this and additional works at: https://rdw.rowan.edu/etd
Part of the Elementary and Middle and Secondary Education Administration Commons

## Recommended Citation

Ponter, James R., "A quantitative study of the relationship between academic achievement and the developmental study of vocal and instrumental music" (1999). Theses and Dissertations. 1869. https://rdw.rowan.edu/etd/1869

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by Rowan Digital Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of Rowan Digital Works. For more information, please contact graduateresearch@rowan.edu.

# A QUANTITATIVE STUDY OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT AND THE DEVELOPMENTAL STUDY OF VOCAL AND INSTRUMENTAL MUSIC 

## by

James R. Ponter

## A Master's Thesis

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the Master of Arts Degree in The Graduate School of Rowan University
March 24, 1999

Approved by
Date Approved Cheitiol9, (999


#### Abstract

| James R. Ponter | A Quantitative Study of the <br> Relationship Between Academic <br> Achievement and the <br> Developmental Study of Vocal <br> Instrumental Music <br> 1999 <br> Dr. Theodore Johnson <br> School Administration |
| :--- | :--- |

The purpose of this study was to determine whether a quantitative relationship existed between academic achievement as measured by California Achievement Test scores and the involvement of high school students in the developmental study of vocal and instrumental music.

The California Achievement Test Level 20 (CAT-5) scores of a cohort of 170 students were evaluated from grades nine and ten. Students were grouped according to whether they were involved in developmental vocal music, instrumental music, vocal and instrumental music programs, or no music study.

Reading, Language, and Mathematics scores for students in music programs were compared to non-music students using the $t$ - Test analysis to assess statistically significant differences. The $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ level was chosen as the standard for statistical significance.

Results indicated that students involved in developmental music study had marginally higher scores in grade nine and substantially higher scores in grade ten and that instrumental music study had the most profound positive influence upon these scores.


## Mini Abstract

James R. Ponter<br>A Quantitative Study of the Relationship Between Academic Achievement and the Developmental Study of Vocal Instrumental Music 1999<br>Dr. Theodore Johnson<br>School Administration

This study explored the relationship between academic achievement and the developmental study of vocal and instrumental music. Results indicated that students involved in developmental music study had marginally higher scores in grade nine and substantially higher scores in grade ten. Instrumental music study had the most influence upon test scores.
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# A Quantitative Study of the Relationship Between <br> Academic Achievement and the <br> Developmental Study <br> of Music 

Chapter 1

## Introduction

In American public schools, music is considered to be an "activity" on the periphery of the curriculum. Music takes a back seat to the "serious" subjects required to educate our youth. The available literature demonstrates that, far from being a peripheral activity offered to a few "talented" students with the inclination, interest and cultural exposure, music should be considered as fundamental to the curriculum as reading and mathematics. The literature also reveals that nations whose students consistently out-perform American students in tests assessing science and mathematics achievement are countries where music is not a subsidiary focus of the curriculum. Further, the study of music in a developmental skill-building program is a cost effective way to enhance academic achievement when compared to the costs of remedial programs and expensive technologies.

## Focus of the Study

A body of data exists which suggests that the systematic and developmental study of music enhances cognitive development (Rauscher \& Shaw, 1994, 1997), (Dickinson, 1993), (ETS, 1995), (Venerable, 1989).

However, in American public schools, music is assigned a subsidiary status in the curriculum to academic subjects and is most often offered as an elective. Developmental music studies are offered primarity to students with the cultural exposure necessary to promote the interest to pursue these studies. Thus the developmental study of music and its cognitive benefits is limited, by default, to a self-selected elite (Eisner, 1985).

In the interest of fiscal responsibility and faced with low academic performance when compared to students of other nations, the music program is often looked upon as a budgetary luxury which must defer to a misplaced emphasis on essential skills (Eisner, 1985). Further, an emphasis on technology in the classroom has diverted funds which, if applied to the developmental study of music, would provide a far more culturally enriching and more cost-effective vehicle for the enhancement of cognitive development and academic achievement (Shaw, 1997).

Local boards of education and state education authorities are committed to the standardization of curricula and the measurement of academic achicvement through standardized test scores. The New Jersey Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development (NJASCD) is devoting its 1998 Annual State Conference to the alignment of curricula to state standards and assessment instruments (NJASCD, 1998). The obsession with standardized assessment can is captured in the Safe Havens comic piece:
"According to this, corporations want future employees who can 'think outside the box.' "
"Creatively, in other words?"
"Yeah, but to do that they need to gauge how well we're maintaining our individuality."
"How?
"They want us to take a standardized test." (Holbrook, 1998)

Accreditation and funding are often linked to the achievement of specific standardized test benchmarks. Therefore, it is necessary to demonstrate to education authorities that investment in music programs provides cognitive benefits beyond what Americans have traditionally attributed to the developmental study of music.

This study seeks to demonstrate a quantitative relationship between the developmental study of music and academic achievement by comparing California Achievement Test (CAT) scores of students involved in the developmental study of music to test scores of other students who do not study music.

## Definitions

The developmental study of music will be defined for the purposes of this study, as the sequential study of vocal or instrumental music with the intent to progress through the sequential development of increasingly sophisticated musical skills and repertoire for the purpose of solo and/or ensemble performance.

Statistical Significance will be defined for the purposes of this study, as a probability of less than $5.0 \%$ as a result of the application of the T-test of statistical significance to mean values of test populations.
$t$-test, ( $t$-Distribution) : The $t$-distribution is parametric analysis used to test the effect of an independent variable on a hypothesized parametric assumption between two populations.

Each $t$ - distribution is determined by a degrees-of-freedom (df) value. Like a normal distribution, the $t$-distributions are symmetrical becoming more like a normal distribution as degrees of freedom increase (Wiersma, 1995).

In this study the mean \% increase in Califomia Achievement Test scores will be compared between two populations. The independent variable will be the developmental study of music.

The researcher chooses, for philosophical reasons, not to control for IQ. One may reasonably conclude that a statistically significant difference between music and non-music populations may be duc simply to academic aptitude based on an assumption that the music groups is more academically as well as more musically gifted than the nonmusic group. The argument could be made that smart students make music. The researcher is attempting to test the opposite hypothesis, that is, music makes smart students.

Intelligence quotient testing, rather than testing aptitude, tests for cultural exposure. Further, IQ testing fails to consider the broader spectrum of intelligences (multiple intelligences) which cannot be adequately measured through the traditional IQ assessments.

## Statistical Definitions:

Probability (p) :
derived from a standard $t$ - table based on a calculated $t$ value and degrees of frecdom based on the number of data points.

Degrees of Freedom : data points (n) - 1
$t$ : [ Mean ${ }_{1}-$ Mean $_{2}$ ]/Standard Error of the Difference

Standard Error of the Difference $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{D}}\right)$ :
$\left[(\text { Slandard Error of the Mean } 1)^{2}+(\text { Standard Error of the Mean } 2)^{2}\right]^{0.5}$
Standard Deviation: (Variance) 5
Variance : Sum of (x-mean $)^{2 /(n-1)}$
Mcan: Sum of $n / n$
Mean : Sum of test scores/total number of data points n : a data point

## Limitations of the Study

The study will be limited to students of Rancocas Valley Regional High School for whom CAT scores are available for two consecutive years. Subjects will be students who took the CAT test in grades nine and ten in the years 1995 and 1996. The study will seek to compare the changes in raw scores and national percentiles between 1995 and 1996.

A statistically significant difference in the scores between musicians and non-musicians within a given cohort could be ascribe to a number of uncontrolled variables. These could include intelligence quotient, cultural exposure, and socio-economic factors.

However, a statistically significant difference in year-to-year changes in scores between students involved in music programs compared to students who do not study music would be indicate whether music was a factor in enhancing students' mastery of the objectives of the test instrument independent of IQ or socio-economic comparisons.

It would be reasonable to generalize results to comparable public high school populations taking this nationally normed assessment.

## Sctting of the Study

## Background of the District:

The subjects of this study are students of Rancocas Valley Regional High School locatcd in Mount Holly, New Jersey. The Rancocas Vallcy Regional High School serves Burlington County New Jersey communities in the Townships of Easthampton, Hainesport, Lumberton, Mount Holly, and Westhampton and is located approximately 20 miles to the northeast of Philadelphia, PA and 20 miles to the south of Trenton. NJ.

In 1934, the townships which sent pupils to the Mount Holly High School held meetings to consider the creation of a regional district for the purpose of erecting a new high school building under a new state law which provided the creation of regional districts.

## Ethno-cultural Environment:

The RVRHS students come primarily from residential neighborhoods of established and recent developments. Within an income classification scheme of low, middle and high as defined by the US Census, the majority of families served by the district are low-middle. There is a slightly higher percentage in the low income group than in the high income group. The school district has minority (non-Caucasian) population of $23 \%$, a figure which has remained fairly static over since 1979.

## Institutional Climate and Culture:

The Rancocas Valley Regional High School is charactcrized by a transformational leadership style which has evolved during the tenure of Superintendent Dr. Henry Cram. Faculty members are direclly involved most aspects of decision making through active participation in a number of school-wide committees. These include the Climate Committee, Curriculum Committee, Student Activities Committee, and the Staff Development Committee (QAAR, 1998).

Under this transitional leadership model, Rancocas Valley Regional High School has adopted a number of research based policies. RVRHS operates a block schedule wherein students select and focus upon four or five classes per semester. Class mect for seventyfour minutes blocks replacing the forty-four minute periods used before September, 1996.

The process through which the block schedule was adopted reflect the style of leadership. Rather than impose the block schedule and scramble to equip teachers with strategies for coping with longer periods, staff in-service focussed, for a number of ycars, upon providing teachers with workshops for increasing their repertoire of instructional strategies and modalities including the use of cooperative leaming structures and addressing a variety of cognitive styles.

Although fully subscribing to the ideas to which they were exposed, the question would often arise, "This is all very good, but how do I do it all in forty-four minutes?" The block schedule was then implemented as a solution to a well-defined problem.

## Other Unique Characteristics

As a by-product of block scheduling and the Courtesy is Contagious campaign, state monitors commented upon the unusual degree of courtesy and decorum in the halls between classes and a high level or pupil to teacher rapport within classes.

Apparently, within the block schedule, teachers, with more time to interact with students in class, get to know them better and earlier in the year. Pupils have more time to work collaboratively in classes and, thus, also get the opportunity to know one another better and carlier.

Another policy based upon recent brain research allows RVRHS students to, with the consent of the teacher, take drinks and snacks throughout the building and into classes. Monitors, aware of this policy, saw themselves wading through wrapper strewn hallways. They commented, howcver, that the RVRHS hallways and classrooms were far more trash free than in most schools where snacks are prohibited (Monitoring, 1998).

Anothcr policy based upon brain rescarch capitalizes upon the Mozart Effect (Rauscher/Shaw, 1997) whereby classical music is played continually in the hallways and public spaces throughout the day. This policy has been implemented by Mr. Joel Popler and cited in articles in the Philadelphia Inquirer and the Courier Post.

## In summary

Rancocas Valley Regional High School scrves a diverse population through creative and innovative policies which set high expectations which are supported by insightful, rescarch-based transformational administrative stewardship.

## Significance of the Study

Music is recognized as a key clement in promoting cognitive development in countrics who consistently out-perform the United States in international assessments of academic achievement in mathematics and science (A Nation at Risk, 1983), (Eisncr, 1985).

Many of our current notions about the way the mind acquires and processes information are being challenged by current brain rescarch which suggests that music may be a key element in providing for the kind of hemispheric integration that is needed for kind creative problem solving necded by engincers, physicians, mathematicians and research scientists (Rauscher/Shaw, 1997). Many of the learning disabilities that can be attributed to poor hemispheric integration may be better trcated by the developmental study of music rather than our current remedial education practices which continue to place an cmphasis upon basic skills drill and practice (Fischer, 1994).

The notion that "music makes you smarter" is moving from the realm of ancient wisdom to quantifiable theory. Quantitative studies are necded to cither support or refute this notion using data that is meaningful to education policy makers. This study seeks to contribute to that body of quantitative information.

## Organization of the Study

The Following chapter will review research that focuses upon the influence of music on the lcarning process in non-music disciplines. A growing body of data is re-affirming long held notions about music and cognition through the recent availability of PET scan, CAT scan, and MRI technology while causing us the re-cvaluate other long-held beliefs about the nature of musical aptitude and "talent." This research has profound implications with regard to the curriculum prioritics we have set for this country and portents a rich intellectual and cultural catalyst if taken seriously.

Chapter Three will delineate the details of the research design, data gathering mothodology and data analysis protocols to be used in conducting this quantitative study. Statistical and non-statistical terminology to be used in the research design will be further definced.

Chapter Four will present the data and its analysis. Probability statements and conclusions will be presented here. Examples of these statements may included: t-distribution - Probability Statement; The probability that the mean valucs being compared being due to random chance alone is (greater/less) than 05 . $t$-distribution - Conclusion; The influence of the study of music on the increase or decrease of CAT scores from grade nine to grade ten (is/is not) statistically significant.

Chapter Five will present an interpretation of the data and delineate its implications for the support or refutation of the hypothesis, explore reasons why the hypothesis was or was not supported, and make recommendations for further study and experimental design.

## Chapter 2

## A Review of the Literature

## Introduction

In American public schools, music is considered to be an "activity" on the periphery of the curriculum taking a back seat to the serious subjects required to educate our youth. The available literature demonstrates that, far from being a peripheral activity offered to a few "talented" students with the inclination, interest, and exposure, music should be considered as fundamental to the curriculum as reading and mathematics. The literature also reveals that nations whose students consistently out-perform Americans in tests assessing science and mathematics achievement are the countries where music is not a subsidiary focus of the curriculum. Further, the study of music in a developmental skillbuilding program is a cost effective way to enhance academic achievement when compared to the costs of remedial programs and expensive technologies.

## Nations at Risk and Nations Achieving

Test results cited in the 1983 report, A Nation at Risk, showed the United States losing out badly to other countries in mathematics and science (A Nation at Risk). A 1988 test of the International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement showed us ranking fourteenth among seventeen countries on an instrument testing the performance of eighth and ninth grade students in science achievement. Our students' scores were similar to those of Thailand, and Singapore while trailing far behind Poland, Italy, Korea, English-speaking Canada and every other participating country with the exception of the Philippines and Hong Kong (IAEEA).

This report was one of the catalysts for many reform efforts of the eighties and nineties which, in New Jersey, included the Governor's State Wide

Systemic Initiative, Core Course Proficiencies, the Core Curriculum Content Standards and The Academy for the Improvement of Teaching accompanied by a flurry of legislative initiatives aimed at tightening the requirements for obtaining and retaining teaching and administrative certifications.

Until recently, one of the most neglected reforms has been a serious examination of the influence of the arts on academic achievement, particularly upon achievement in mathematics and science. The top performing students on the 1988 test were the eighth and ninth graders from Hungary followed by those from the Netherlands and Japan.

In conjunction with recent work in cognitive psychology regarding the relationship between music and academic achievement, it is enlightening to examine the status of music in the curriculum of countries who consistently out pace our students in math and science.

## A Misguided Emphasis

The arts have long been regarded as an extra-curricular luxury. Elliot Eisner states:
"If the arts are regarded as non-intellectual or as essentially emotive in character, they will be considered merely a kind of diversion from the hard subjects, having only the potential for cultivating avocational interests."

For this reason, the arts frequently take a back seat to "academics" in the budget process. According to Eisner,
> "When a nation is at risk, when from virtually all sides we hear of the vast number of functional illiterates leaving our schools, when remedial courses are oversubscribed at even our most selective colleges, the thought of making the case for so seemingly marginal a subject as art and music in our schools is especially daunting. How can we recommend that the school's most precious resource, time, be directed from what is truly basic in education to the luxury of studying the arts?

How can one propose that teachers divert their attention from the skills that are fundamental to economic well being, to an area of study that 'properly' comes after the basic educational needs have been met? How can one propose a broad course of study when the schools have, apparently, been failing at their more narrowly defined tasks?" (Eisner, 1985).

## What Are Other Countries Doing Well?

We see some fascinating parallels between achievement and music education if we examine the top three ranked countries on the 1988 test. In a 1988 study cited by Frank Hodsoll, Chairman of the National Endowment for the Arts, he noted that the Japanese require two class periods per week each in music and art each year in grades one through six. Music includes singing, instrumental performance, and appreciation of both western and Japanese music. In middle school, students learn to sing in a chorus and play instruments in an ensemble. (US Dept. of Ed, 1987).

In Dutch secondary schools, music and art became mandatory subjects in 1968 and in 1976, compulsory examinations in these subjects were implemented (CITO, 1988).

In Hungary, the land of Bela Bartok and Franz List with its number one ranking in science achievement for eighth and ninth graders, music education has long been an essential and developmental program implemented nationally by the composer, Zoltan Kodaly, wherein both voice and instrumental training twice a week is compulsory throughout the first eight years of schooling (Kodaly, 1990). The centrality of music education to learning in the top ranked countries seems to contradict our more rational strategy with its focus on math, science, vocabulary and technology. Yet, we continue to emphasize the need for computers in every classroom, and more academic emphasis upon basic skills.

## What's Music Got to Do With It?

With apologies to Tina Turner, I would like to examine some reasons why an emphasis on music pays academic dividends beyond what Americans traditionally tend to expect. Recent work in cognitive psychology is attempting to quantify what many have long recognized and assumed.

## An Historical Perspective

Music, one of the medieval quadrivium (Four Pillars of Leaning) along with arithmetic, geometry and astronomy, has, historically, been considered an integral part of learning. According to Plato,
" ...the decisive importance of education in poetry and music: rhythm and harmony sink deep into the recesses of the soul and take the strongest hold there ...and when reason comes, he (the child) will greet her as a friend with whom his education has made him long familiar." (Plato)

Aristotle said,
"We become a certain quality in our characters on account of music." (Aristotle) And according to Allan Bloom,
"Music is at the center of education, both for giving passions their due and for preparing the soul for the unhampered use of reason." (Bloom, 1987)

## Music and the Brain

Because of the close relationship between music and mathematics, it is interesting that the processing of these two activities is attributed to distant locations in the brain. Many functions involved in mathematical, analytic and sequential thinking have been
localized in the Left Hemisphere (LH), whereas the functions associated with artistic, musical and holistic thinking have been demonstrated by the Right Hemisphere (RH). In order to study the effects of music on the brain, I will briefly elaborate on the RH/LH discussion.

The functional separation of the RH and LH has led to many misconceptions and oversimplifications. Publications such as, Drawing on the Right Side of the Brain, have attempted to capitalize on the idea by promoting espoused brain booster systems for getting more out of an individual hemisphere. A review of selected writings on hemisphericity is presented here to in an attempt to clarify this issue.

It is known that there are definite differences between the hemispheres in the general right-handed population (Springer \& Deutsch, 1985). However, the nature of these differences is still in question. In the Journal of Clinical Psychology, Joseph provides a summative review of the literature on laterality (1988). He provides a long list of functions with which the RH has been shown to dominate: the perception and identification of environmental and nonverbal sounds; somesthesis; steriogenesis; the maintenance of the body image; the comprehension and expression of prosodic, melodic, and emotional features of speech; the analysis of geometric and visual-space; the production of certain forms of visual images; dreams during REM sleep; the perception and expression of visual, facial and verbal affect; the ability to determine a person's mood, attitude and intentions via the analysis of gesture, facial expression, vocal-melodic and intonational qualities; socialemotional functioning; and finally, the perception of most aspects of music (Joseph, 1988).

Joseph states, "Although there is evidence of considerable functional overlap as well as inter-hemispheric cooperation on a number of tasks, it certainly appears that the mental system maintained by the right hemisphere is highly developed, socialemotional, bilateral, and in many ways dominant over the temporal-sequential, languagedependent half of the cerebrum." (Joseph, p. 659).

This is quite a different picture than the idea that the RH is the subordinate to the dominant LH.

Another view is that of Cornock (1984). He warns against making too many conclusions about functional hemispheric laterality based primarily on split brain research. He explains that many of these subjects have many other problems (epilepsy) that may contribute to a relocation of some functions. He also discusses the difficulty in localizing right hemisphere functions as they seem to be more diffuse and integrative. What he will attribute to the RH are the functions of facilitating the immediate recognition of relationships and significant patterns, visuospatial skills, the figurative use and interpretation of language (humor), attaching emotional content to phenomena, performing parallel rather than sequential processing, enabling the appreciation of events, and musical awareness (Cornock, 1984).

More specifically concerned with the laterality differences between musicians and nonmusicians Hassler(1990) studied five groups of subjects for lateral dominance, musical talent, spatial processing, handedness, verbal processing, psychological androgyny, and physiological androgyny (Hassler, 1990). One group consisted of musical composers, another group was made up of instrumentalists, the third group were all non-musicians, a fourth group of painters and finally the last group consisted of non musicians with low educational status. Each group was an even mix of males and females. The results demonstrated that females in the artistic groups were more strongly lateralized than both male and female non-musicians. The males in the artistic groups were less lateralized than non musicians. Their data support the assumption that LH and RH functions contributing to processes associated with verbal processing are more effectively integrated in musicians than in non-musicians. These studies confirm earlier research by Hassler that musicians have enhanced spatial abilities compared to non musicians regardless of gender. Hassler goes on to claim that anomalous dominance is assumed to favor special talents (music, math and spatial skills ) but also related to developmental learning disorders.

Other, more popular (Shreeve, 1996), examples of the lateralization of musical skills include that of the Russian composer Vissarion Shebelin, who suffered two left hemisphere strokes. Afterwards, he was unable to speak or understand the meaning of words, yet continued to compose and teach music. Another composer, Maurice Ravel, began to make spelling mistakes and eventually lost his ability to read and could no longer sign his name. Yet, unlike Shebelin he could no longer compose, though he persistently said that he had a new opera "in his head". He could still play scales and listen and enjoy musical performances. These different situations suggest the close proximity of areas in the brain that are related to music composition and linguistic abilities, yet they are still separate.

## Observing the Brain in Action

Traditional brain research, until recent years, has relied predominantly upon phenomenological models. Phenomenological researchers observe behavior to gain insights about brain function (Levine, 1994). Direct brain research utilizes scanning and sensing technologies to observe the brain directly in the act of receiving and processing information.

An early example of using electroencephalogram (EEG) technology to explore the laterality question in relation to musical experience and behavior is the work done by Davidson \& Schwartz (1977). They measured the EEG activity of subjecte … remembered and reproduced music with and without lyrics. All the subjects were right handed. 9 males and 5 females, a mix of both musically trained and untrained subjects, were asked to list 3 familiar songs before the test. They were then asked to first, whistle a melody, then talk the lyrics to a song and finally sing a song. Each task was recorded for one minute with eyes closed. This scenario was repeated twice. Once for recordings done in two LH and RH parietal locations and then again for two occipital locations. The subjects who were not musically trained showed more activity in the RH while whistling vs.
talking the lyrics. The musically trained subjects showed no difference. Also, there were no differences between groups during talking or singing. The authors state that their data are consistent with recent evidence suggesting that musical training is associated with the adoption of an analytic and sequential processing mode toward melodic infentan (Davidson \& Schwartz, 1977).

Zatorre (Shreeve, 1996) has visualized the differences between the hemispheres while they were in action using (positron emission tomography) PET scanning techniques. While Subjects listened to a tune, these scans show activity in the right superior temporal gyrus. When asked to pay special attention to the particular pitches within the tunes and make comparisons, the scans show activity in both the RH and the LH.

In addition to EEG and PET scanning technologies, Schlaug, Jancke, Huang, \& Steinmetz (1995) show magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) evidence for increased lateralization in the left planum temporale in musicians with perfect pitch (Shreeve, 1996).

Finally, Damasio \& Damasio (1977), present evidence for a dynamic, developing cerebral dominance for certain features of musical faculty. They suggest that there is a RH dominance for musical execution (regardless of training) and a variable dominance for musical perception, starting in the RH in the musically naive and developing into a LH dominance in the musically sophisticated.

Many particular functions have been found to be localized in different hemispheres. Musical experience is generally more localized in the RH in naive listeners but in both hemispheres in trained musicians. The developing perception of music seems to involve both hemispheres and increased skill level coincides with an increase in the integration between the two hemispheres. This relocation of cognitive processing associated with music coincides with recent thoughts about developmental stages in skill acquisition.

The serious developmental study of music has cognitive benefits we have only recently begun to quantify. What actually goes on in the brain during musical performance seems to involve a very high level thinking process. According to Howard Gardner, musicians
follow a sequence of notes which is a very sequential left brain process. While seeing patterns in the construction of phrases, seeing the whole for expressive phrasing and interpretation, and dealing with rhythmic patterns are very right brained skills. Additionally, mathematical abilities involved in timing, counting, and the symbolic encoding of time and sound, involve abstract and spatial reasoning. All of this brain activity must be consummated in the form of precise motor skills. Beyond all other musical activities, the playing of stringed instruments without keys or frets involves the estimation of decreasing distances down the finger board for accurate intonation. Bowing technique requires the cultivation of an intuitive sense for pressure, velocity, and acceleration which may later become codified in the symbolic language of the calaun.

Because it pulls on so many different attributes, music develops flexibility in thinking. Musical training is an effective way not only to enhance the conceptual-holistic-creative thinking process, but also to assist in the melding and merging of the mind's capabilities. Although most musical capabilities seem to be represented initially in the right hemisphere, as an individual becomes more skilled, capabilities that were housed in the right hemisphere are found increasingly in the left. It seems as if, with musical training, a significant proportion of skills migrate across the corpus callosum into the linguistically dominant left hemisphere (Gardner, 1982). It appears as though music pre-wires the brain to deal with the kinds of quantitative and spatial analysis required of mathematics.

## Does Music Make You Smarter?

Studies suggest that it does. Increasingly, evidence suggests that musical experience directly influences and enhances cognitive skills.

In Nancy Welch's School's Communities and the Arts: A Research Compendium, there are summaries of at least 50 research projects each attempting to prove that arts education is valuable and necessary for students to reach their potentials. The organization and volume of these summaries is impressive at first glance, but upon closer look one finds
that the direct causal relationships between art education and brain development is lacking. Yet, clearly art education does influence a students success.

One example of a persuasive study in this compendium is that done by Carolyn Hudspeth (1986). Two 4th grade language arts classes of low achievers were tested. Each class of 16 students was from a different school though closely matched in socio-economic and achievement levels. The California Achievement Test was used before and after the experiment to assess the influence of an arts education program. One class was taught a traditional language arts program while the other was taught with the SAMPLE method (Suggested Activities of Music and Poetry for Language Enrichment) designed by Hudsepth (1986). The results were positive: SAMPLE classes outperformed the traditional class by 5 years in "language mechanics" and 2.7 years on "total language" (Hudspeth, 1986).

More recently, more controlled efforts at finding a causal link between music and education are being published that better bridge the gaps between the fields of educational research, cognitive psychology and brain development.

In Finland, Kalliopuska \& Ruokonen (1993) tested the effects of music exercises in the holistic development of empathy and presociability. Empathy was used as the skill to observe because the authors assume it is an integration of affective, cognitive, kinesthetic and physiological components that can be differentiated upon testing. It is a good example of holistic thinking and behavior.

For their work, 2 groups of 6 year olds were tested on their ability to think empathetically in several varied social situations and problems. One group attended a special Saturday music program for 12 weeks that met for one hour where subjects were involved in singing, playing instruments, listening, music exercise, and discussion about the emotions associated with their musical experiences. The control group had no such training. Before and after the training period subjects were tested with several empathy tests, including evaluations by their parents and teachers. The subjects were tested after 3
months of training and again after 9 months. There was substantial improvement in empathy test scores though these improvements subsided after 9 months and receded to almost the same as control group (Kalliopuska \& Ruokonen, 1993).

In 1996, Gardiner, Fox, Knowles \& Jeffrey conducted a similar experiment testing mathematics and reading skills. 96 students in 8 different classrooms participated. Four classrooms were dubbed 'test arts' rooms and these were taught the Kodaly method of music and visual arts curriculum which emphasized sequenced skill development (Barkoczi, 1987). The remaining classrooms participated in the standard art curriculum. Other curricula was identical for all classrooms. After 7 months all students took standardized achievement tests. Students in the test arts classes had been behind controls in the previous year but after the 7 months were at least equal and often ahead in reading skills and mathematics. From the report, "Learning arts skills forces mental 'stretching' useful to other areas of leaming: the maths learning advantage in our data could, for example, reflect the development of mental skills such as ordering, and other elements of thinking on which mathematical learning at this age also depends" (Gardiner et al., p. 284). They make a direct relationship between the musical experience and the developmental skills needed to solve mathematical problems.

The so-called "Mozart Effect" (Rideout, 1997) that has been described in various popular media circles (Shreeve, 1996) is the result of research initiated by Frances Rauscher and others that attempt to probe deeper into the general positive results described above. Rauscher recognized the lack of causal evidence for the relationship between music cognition and other higher brain functions. The term "Mozart Effect" was coined after Rauscher presented evidence that demonstrated how subjects improved on their ability to solve spatial reasoning problems after listening to a Mozart sonata (Rauscher, Shaw, \& Ky, 1993). This causal relationship was demonstrated by testing 36 college students after they listened 10 minutes of Mozart's sonata for two pianos in D major; a relaxation tape, and silence. After each listening experience they were given standard IQ spatial reasoning
tasks. Performance improved immediately following the Mozart sonata but not after the other two listening conditions. Arousal was discounted as the cause as pulse was also measured and no change was found. The effect lasted for 10 or 15 minutes but subsided thereafter.

In order to experiment with more lasting effects, Rauscher also did another similar study where musical training of preschoolers was shown to improve spatial processing in a more permanent manner over a period of months (Rauscher, Shaw, Levine, Ky, \& Wright, 1994).

Rideout \& Laubach expanded Rauscher's research ideas in 1996 by using EEG technology to measure the Mozart Effect. This study had two purposes. The authors wanted to replicate the improved spatial performance following exposure to music in adults and to examine the EEG correlates of performance changes after listening to music. They were interested in whether the specific association exist between changes in EEG characteristics and changes in performance on the spatial task. For this study, four men and four woman with a mean age of 21.1, each having no more than two years of music study were tested after listening to music and after listening to a relaxation tape. Again, spatial reasoning was tested after listening times. The EEG was recorded while they engaged in the spatial reasoning tasks.

Spatial performance was again much improved. Subjects with generally lower alpha peak frequencies and higher beta were more likely to improve performance. This increased separation between peak frequencies may imply easier frequency discrimination. This may, in turn, facilitate music's enhancement of firing patterns used in spatial reasoning. Also, improved performance was correlated with increased alpha power in the left temporal area. The results suggest that the music had its effect by facilitating specific changes in brain state and associated EEG power which mediated improved performance. They hypothesized that the similar cognitive enhancements that are shown in spatial reasoning in children and in adults may be due to different developmental
mechanisms (Rideout \& Laubach, 1996). Though the sample tested in the research was much smaller than Rauscher's, this experiment stands as a model for further study into finding a direct causal connection between brain and behavior relationships.

In summary, there is correlational and causal evidence for the improvement of cognitive skills after musical training.

The 1997 study by psychologist Dr. Frances Raucher of the University of Wisconsin at Oshkosh and physicist Dr. Gordon Shaw of the University of California at Irvine, indicated how music can enhance spatial reasoning ability.

The experiment included four groups of preschoolers. One group received private piano/keyboard lessons. A second group received singing lessons. A third group received private computer lessons and a fourth group received no training beyond the regular curriculum. Children receiving the piano/keyboard training performed $34 \%$ higher on tests measuring spatial-temporal ability than the other groups. They concluded that instrumental music training uniquely enhances higher brain functions required for mathematics, science and engineering (Shaw, et. al., 1997). This study confirmed an earlier study which demonstrated a $46 \%$ increasing in spatial reasoning in a group provided with eight months of keyboard lessons (Rauscher, et. al., 1994). Students in two Rhode Island elementary schools who were given an enriched, sequential, skill-building music program showed marked improvement in reading and math skills. Students in the enriched program who had started out behind the control group caught up to statistical equality with the control group in reading and pulled ahead of the control group in mathematics (Fox, 1996).

Students with course work and experience in music performance and music appreciation scored higher on the Scholastic Aptitude Test as reported by the Education Testing Service. Students who studied music performance scored an average 51 points higher on the Verbal test and 39 points higher on the Mathematics Test than students without music study. Students who studied music appreciation in addition to music performance scored

61 points higher on the Verbal and 46 points higher on the Mathematics test than student without music study (ETS, 1995).

The mental flexibility that is developed by the study of music is reflected in industrial applications. One of the most innovative and entrepreneurial centers of American commerce is the Silicon Valley of California.

Grant Venerable, in his book The Paradox of the Silicon Savior, says,
"One of the most striking facts in Silicon Valley industry is that the very best engineers and technical designers are, nearly without exception, practicing musicians." (Venerable, 1989).

Physician and biologist Lewis Thomas studied the undergraduate majors of medical school applicants. He found that $66 \%$ of music majors who applied to medical school were admitted. This was the highest of any group while only $44 \%$ of the biochemistry majors were admitted (Thomas, 1994).

## Music as a Window into Higher Brain Function

What we do as administrators in our schools must be directly related to the practice of teaching and learning. Decisions we make and policies we implement must take into account what the cognitive sciences have learned within the last five to ten years about the brain and how we learn. Many of these studies deal directly with the relationship between music and cognition. If our goal as administrators and policy makers is to maximize human potential, the brain research offers help in making very practical decisions. For example, in a time of fiscal restraint, would my students and their tax-paying parents be best served by a $\$ 3000$ Macintosh computer requiring specialized facilities, expensive maintenance, and which would be obsolete within five years, or a $\$ 300$ student grade violin usable anywhere and base upon technology essentially unchanged in 400 years?

Following is a condensed chronology of research linking music to higher cognitive functioning. 1985 - Gordon Shaw, Dennis Silverman and John Pearson present the trion model of the brain's neuronal structure (Shaw, 1985).

1989 - Experiments in which musicians perform mental rehearsals of music indicated the extremety precise firing patterns by the billions of the same neurons involved in skills such as solving problems in higher mathematics and playing chess (Brothers and Shaw, 1989). 1990 - Computer experiments revealed that trion firing patterns can be mapped onto pitches and instrument timbres to produce music. This suggests that the trion model is a viable model for the coding of certain aspects of musical structure in human composition and perception, and that the trion model is relevant for examining creativity in higher cognitive functions such as mathematics that are similar to music (Leng, 1990) 1991 - Xiaodan Leng and Gordon Shaw proposed that music may be considered a "prelanguage", and that early music training may be useful in "exercising" the brain in preparation for higher cognitive functions (Leng, 1991).

1993 - A pilot study by Frances Rauscher, Gordon Shaw and Katherinc Ky found that children given music training displayed significant improvement in spatial reasoning ability. Experiments with college students found that after listening to a Mozart piano sonata, they experienced a significant although temporary gain in spatial reasoning skills (Rauscher, 1992).

1994 - A follow-up study conducted by Rauscher, Shaw, Ky, and Linda Levine found that music training improved spatial reasoning. These improvements did not occur in control groups without music training (Rauscher, 1994).

1995 - Rauscher, Shaw and Ky, in a follow-up study to their 1993 study with college students found that listening to Mozart improved spatial reasoning and that the effect can
increase with repeated testing over several days. They noted that the effect may not occur when music lacks sufficient complexity (Rauscher et. al., 1995)

1997 - With Wendy Dennis, Eric Wright, and Robert Newcomb, researchers Shaw, Rauscher and Levine conducted a more refined investigations of their 1994 study of preschool children. Their findings indicated that children receiving instrumental keyboard music training showed significant improvements in their spatial-temporal reasoning skills above those in their peer group who received computer training or no training (Rauscher/Shaw, 1997).

## Summary

The research emerging from the cognitive sciences gives us useful information to explain the connections between music and learning. EEG, CAT scan and PET scan Technologies allowing us to see the human brain while in the process of thinking show us that when people listen to music with appropriate complexity, and a variety of pitch and timbre, the right hemisphere is activated as it is when one plays by ear or improvises. When music is read, the player must understand key signatures, notation, and other details of scores and follow the sequence of notes activating the left hemisphere in the same area that is involved in analytical and mathematical thinking (Dickinson, 1993).

This mental multi-tasking seems to enhance cognitive ability in powerful ways that we must not ignore. The studies cited here seem to present a compelling argument in favor of the implementation of long-term developmental instrumental music programs for all students, not just those students with an obvious aptitude and interest. These programs should also include appreciation and theoretical components for all students.

Talent is not bred, it is leamed.
Talent is not a gift, it is eamed.

## The Developmental Study of Music and Standardized Test Scores

Although we may wish to debate the philosophical merits and the efficacy of various formats of standardized tests, the reality remains that school districts in New Jersey and elsewhere judge the merits of curriculum reform initiatives against their ability to raise test scores. Therefore, it is the purpose of this study to undertake a quantitative investigation of the effects of the developmental study of instrumental and vocal music on student performance on selected standardized test instruments. This researcher will seek to refute the hypothesis that students in similar demographic factor groups (DFG's) involved in the formal and developmental study of instrumental and vocal music will demonstrate a statistically significant difference in higher test scores in the areas of reading, writing and mathematics when compared to students in corresponding DFG's who are not involved in the study of music. Data from a variety of test instruments will be used from various grade 8, 10, and 11 population groupings as described in Chapter Three.

## Implications for Further Research and Policy

Investigations of Haggler (1990), Davidson \& Schwartz (1977), and Damasio (1977) all point toward the developmental study of music as a catalyst for hemispheric integration. Some learning disabilities and attention disorders may be attributable to poor $\mathrm{LH} / \mathrm{RH}$ integration. Levine (1994) testifies to the strong remedial effects of the study of music and other forms of artistic endeavor (Levine, 1994). We may well find that some learning disabilities and attention disorders attributable to poor LH/RH integration may prove to be more successfully treated through keyboard classes, violin lessons, or formal vocal training than through traditional remedial classes with their emphasis upon basic skills.
"Music is the manifestation of the human spirit, similar to language. Its greatest practitioners have conveyed to mankind things not possible to say in any other language. If we do not want these things to remain dead treasures, we must do our utmost to make the greatest possible number of people understand their idiom."

- Zoltan Kodaly
"I would teach children music, physics, and philosophy; but most importantly music, for in the patterns of music are the keys to learning."
- Plato


## Chapter 3

Design of the Study

## General Description of the Research Design

This study will attempt to provide a quantitative justification for the claims made by the author that the developmental study of music enhances academic achievement. This broad generalization is narrowed for the purpose of this study to focus on student scores on standardized test instruments administered to ninth and tenth grade students at Rancocas Valley Regional High School.

## Statement of the Hypothesis

The hypothesis to be tested in this study will be stated as:
Students who are involved in the developmental study of music will exhibit a statistically significant difference in the percent increase in their scores on the California Achievement Test Version Five (CAT-5) between grade 9 and grade 10 when compared to students not involved in such developmental music study.

The rescarcher chooses, for philosophical reasons, not to control for IQ belicving that, rather than measuring aptitude or intelligence (however that may be defined) Stanford Binet and other instruments, commonly available for the measurement of intelligence quotients, actually measure cultural exposure rather than native ability, talent, or mental acuity.

## Measuring the Assumption

One may reasonably conclude that a statistically significant difference between music and non-music populations may be due simply to academic aptitude based on an assumption that the music groups is more academically as well as more musically gifted than the non-music group. The argument could be made that smart students make music. The rescarcher is attempting to test the opposite hypothesis, that is, music makes smart students.

Intelligence quotient testing, rather than testing aptitude, tests for cultural cxposure. Further, IQ testing fails to consider the broader spectrum of intelligences (multiple intelligences) which cannot be adequately measured through the traditional IQ assessments.

Therefore, rather than controlling for IQ, the researcher chooses to control for academic aptitude using the NJ Eighth Grade Early Warning Test, (EWT), scores as the covariate.

## Definitions

The developmental study of music will be defined for the purposes of this study, as the sequential study of vocal or instrumental music with the intent to progress through the progressive development of increasingly sophisticated musical skills and repertoire for the purpose of solo and/or ensemble performance.

Participation and Involvement in the developmental study of music will considered, for the purposes of this study, as occurring at least by the beginning of the freshman (grade 9) year and continuing throughout the course of the study.

Statistical Significance will be defined for the purposes of this study, as 1) a probability of less than $5.0 \%$ as a result of the application of the T-test of statistical significance to mean values of test populations.
2) a probability of less than $5.0 \%$ as a result of the application of the Analysis of Covariance test to the mean values of the adjusted test populations.
$t$ - test, ( $t$-Distribution) : The $t$-distribution is parametric analysis used to test the effect of an independent variable on a hypothesized parametric assumption between two populations. Each $t$-distribution is determined by a degrees-of-freedom (df) value. Like a normal distribution, the $\boldsymbol{t}$-distributions are symmetrical becoming more like a normal distribution as degrees of freedom increase (Wiersma, 1995).

In this study the mean \% increase in California Achicvement Test scores will be compared between two populations. The independent variable will be the developmental study of music.

## Probability (p) :

derived from a standard $t$ - table based on a calculated $t$ value and degrees of frecdom based on the number of data points.

Degrees of Freedom : data points (n)-1
$t$ : [ Mean ${ }_{1}-$ Mean $_{2}$ ]/Standard Error of the Difference

Standard Error of the Difference $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{D}}\right)$ :
$\left.\left[(\text { Standard Error of the Mean } 1)^{2}+(\text { Standard Error of the Mcan })^{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{0.5}$

Standard Deviation: (Variance) ${ }^{5}$
Variance: Sum of ( $x$-mean $)^{2 /(n-1)}$
Mean: Sum of $n / n$
Mcan : Sum of test scores/total number of data points n : a data point

## Impact of the Study upon Perception and Practice

Music is recognized as a key element in promoting cognitive development in countries who consistently out-perform the United States in international assessments of academic achicvement in mathematics and science (A Nation at Risk, 1983), (Eisner, 1985).

Many of our current notions about the way the mind acquires and processes information are being challenged by current brain research which suggests that music may be a key element in providing for the kind of hemispheric integration that is needed for the kinds creative problem solving skills needed by engineers, physicians, mathematicians and rescarch scientists (Rauscher/Shaw, 1997). Many of the lcarning disabilitics that can be attributed to poor hemispheric integration may be better treated by the developmental study of music rather than our current remedial cducation practices which continuc to place an emphasis upon basic skills drill and practice (Fischer, 1994).

The notion that "music makcs you smartcr" is moving from the realm of ancient wisdom to quantifiable theory. Quantitative studies are needed to either support or refute this notion using data that is meaningful to cducation policy makers. This study sceks to contribute to that body of quantitative information.

Research focuses upon the influence of music on the learning process in non-music disciplines. A growing body of data is re-affirming long held notions about music and cognition through the recent availability of PET scan, CAT scan, and MRI tcchnology while causing us the re-evaluate other long-held beliefs about the nature of musical aptitude and "talent." This research has profound implications with regard to the curriculum priorities we have set for this country and portents a rich intellectual and cultural catalyst if taken scriously.
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# Presentation and Analysis of the Data 

In this study, data was gathered to compare and contrast standardized test scores of students engaged in the developmental study of music to those not engaged in music study. Subjects were selected based upon the availability of test data from both ninth and tenth grade students as well as data with regard to involvement in music study through the twelfth grade. These criteria limited the study to 170 subjects who attended Rancocas Valley Regional High School from at least from the sophomore through the senior year.

## Confidentiality

Data was logged for each student. Each student was assigned a data log number, and a music code which delineated the type of music study engaged in by the subject. Names were then climinated from the data tables after the establishment of codes.

Types of music study were delineated using the following codes:

1 = Instrumental Music Study.
2 = Vocal Music Study
3 = Both Instrumental and Vocal Music Study.

## Data Compilation and Analysis

Data was compiled using a Quattro-Pro spreadsheet. Formulae embedded in the spreadsheet may be accessed to verify computations for future replication of this research. Formulae for mean, variance, standard deviation, standard error of the mean, standard error of the difference, and $t$-test $t$ values were used to determine whether statistically significant differences could be detected between groups defined by the study.

The ( $t$ ) value was used with a standard $(t)$ table to generate a probability value. The probability value (p) derived from the table was used to determine the probability that the difference in a mean value from groups being compared was due to random chance alone. A standard of $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ was interpreted to indicate that the probability of the difference between mean values was less than five percent.

## Data Categories

Data from the California Achievement Test Level 20, (CAT-5) was from the freshman class of 1995. These students were tested again as sophomores and grade 10 data was used to compare the percentage of improvement that occurred in music versus non-music students, regardless of their initial score.

CTB/McGraw Hill Inc. formats their score report in the following categories:

Classification

1. Reading Vocabulary
2. Reading Comprehension
3. Total Reading Score
4. Language Mechanics
5. Language Expression
6. Language Total
7. Mathematics Computation
8. Mathematics Concepts and Applications
9. Mathematics Total
10. Total Battery

## Abbreviation Used in Tables

Read Comp
Read Total
Lang Mech
Lang Expr
Lang Tot
Math Comp
Math C \& A
Math Tot

The t-test analysis was applied to selected categories and student groupings base on their relevance to the hypothesis and for the purpose of developing future research questions.

## Summative Data

## Raw Scores Sorted by Musical Involvement

This section compiles the raw California Achievement Test Level 20 (CAT-5) data for each student. The data is sorted by student record number and by a "Music Involvement Type" value which serves to order fields and ranges for further analysis. Names have been deleted before inclusion to protect the confidentiality of the subjects.

## Mean Scores by Music Involvement

This chart delineates the raw mean scores of students on the CAT- 5 based upon each of the music involvement groupings. These groupings included: All Students, Non-music, Instrumental, Choral, Instrumental + Choral, and All Music categories. Mean scores were compiled for each of the skills arrays in mathematics, language arts, and mathematics, composite scores in each area, and total battery scores. These scores were reported separately for grades nine and ten.

Preliminary cxamination of summative mean data revealed:

1) Non-music students had the lowest mean score in each skill array in both grade levels.
2) Non-music students had the lowest total battery score in both grade levels.
3) For grade nine results, Instrumental + Choral students had the highest scores in each array.
4) For grade nine results, Instrumental + Choral students had the highest total battery score.
5) In grade ten, Instrumental students had the highest mean scores in each skill array.
6) In grade ten, Instrumental students had the highest total battery score.

## Summary of Mean Score Comparisons

Students with the least exposure to developmental music study had the lowest mean scores. In grade nine, mean scores were proportional the exposure with the higher mean scores being associated with the developmental study of instrumental music.

In grade nine, students with both choral and instrumental music involvement had the highest mean scores. Students involved in instrumental music had higher mean scores than students involved in choral music. Students involved in choral music had higher mean scores than student with no music involvement.

In grade ten, students with instrumental music involvement had higher mean scores than students dividing their study between choral and instrumental music.

## Percent Change in CAT-5 Scores from Ninth Grade to Tenth Grade

In an attempt to limit intelligence and/or aptitude as a variable, the magnitude of change of mean scores from ninth grade to tenth grade was examined for each music category revealing the following preliminary findings:

1) Students involved in the developmental study of choral music alone had the highest average percent increases in Reading Vocabulary, Reading Comprehension, Total Reading, Language Mechanics, and Language Expression.
2) Students involved in the developmental study of instrumental music had the highest average percent increase in Mathematics Concepts and Applications, Total Mathematics, Total Language and Total Battery.

# Interpretation of t-Test Analyses for the Comparison of Mean Scores 

## Comparison of Mean Scores: Grade Nine (Total Battery) <br> All Music Students vs. Non-Music Students

Using the $t$-test analysis, the probability that difference in the mean Total Battery Score of All Music Students when compared to Non-Music Students being due to random probability is less than $1 \%$.

Comparison of Mean Scores: Grade Ten (Total Battery)
All Music Students vs. Non-Music Students

Using the t-test analysis, the probability that difference in the mean Total Battery Score of All Music Students when compared to Non-Music Students being due to random probability is less than $1 \%$.

Comparison of Mean Scores: Grade Nine (Total Mathematics)
All Music Students ws. Non-Music Students

Using the t-test analysis, the probability that difference in the mean Total Battery Score of All Music Students when compared to Non-Music Students being due to random probability was greater than $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$.

## Comparison of Mean Scores: Grade Ten (Total Mathematics)

All Music Students vs. Non-Music Students

Using the t-test analysis, the probability that difference in the mean Total Battery Score of All Music Students when compared to Non-Music Students being due to random probability was less than $5 \%$.

## Chapter 5

## Conclusions and Recommendations <br> for <br> Further Study

## Conclusions

## Grade Nine Total Battery

Data presented in Chapter Four indicated that students involved in the developmental study of music had mean scores in Grade Nine for in the following categories conceptual categories tested by the California Achievement Test - Level 20 (CAT-5).

| Conceptual Category | Compared Populations | $t$-Test Probability |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Total Battery | Non-Music vs. All Music | $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ |
| Total Battery | Non-Music vs. Instrumental | $\mathrm{p}<0.2$ |
| Total Battery | Non-Music vs. Vocal | $\mathrm{p}>0.5$ |
| Total Battery | Non-Music vs. Instr. \& Vocal | $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ |
| Total Battery | Vocal Music vs. Instrumental | $\mathrm{p}<0.4$ |

Students who studied instrumental music as a component of their developmental music program had higher mean CAT-5 Total Battery scores then student who studied no music. There was no statistically significant difference in mean Total Battery scores between vocal music students and non-music students. The difference between the mean Total Battery scores of instrumental students and non-music students in grade nine was not statistically significant according to the criteria established for this study.

## Grade Ten Total Battery

Data presented in Chapter Four indicated that students invotved in the developmental study of music had mean scores in Grade Ten for in the following categories conceptual categories tested by the California Achievement Test - Level 20 (CAT-5).

| Conceptual Category | Compared Populations | $t$ - Test Probability |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Total Battery | Non-Music vs. All Music | $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ |
| Total Battery | Non-Music vs. Instrumental | $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ |
| Total Battery | Non-Music vs. Vocal | $\mathrm{p}>0.4$ |
| Total Battery | Non-Music vs. Instr. \& Vocal | $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ |
| Total Battery | Vocal Music vs. Instrumental | $\mathrm{p}<0.3$ |

Students who studied instrumental music as a component of their developmental music program had higher mean CAT-5 Total Battery scores then student who studied no music. This difference in mean scores was statistically significant to the $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ level.

Although vocal music students had a higher mean Total Battery score than non-music students, this was not statistically significant. The difference between the mean Total Battery scores of instrumental students and non-music students in grade nine was not statistically significant according to the criteria established for this study. However the mean difference increased from Grade Nine to Grade Ten and the probability that this mean difference was due to random chance decreased from $\mathrm{p}>0.4$ to $\mathrm{p}>0.3$.

From grade Nine to Grade Ten, the mean difference in Total Battery scores for music students as compared to non-music students increased. Further, the statistical significance of these mean scores increased from Grade Nine to Grade Ten. This effect was most profound for students who where involved in the study of instrumental music or both instrumental and vocal music.

The difference in mean scorcs for students who studied vocal music only where greater than for students who studied no music but these differences were not statistically significant in either Grade Nine or Grade Ten.

The results of these analyses can be interpreted to suggest that students with the longest exposure to the study of instrumental music had the highest mean Total Battery CAT-5 scores.

## Grade Nine Total Mathematics

Data presented in Chapter Four indicated that students involved in the developmental study of music had mean scores in Grade Nine for in the following categories conceptual categories tested by the California Achicvement Test - Level 20 (CAT-5).

## Conceptual Category

Total Mathematics
Total Mathematics
Total Mathematics
Total Mathematics
Total Mathematics

Compared Populations
Non-Music vs. All Music
Non-Music vs. Instrumental
Non-Music vs. Vocal
Non-Music vs. Instr. \& Vocal
Vocal Music vs. Instrumental

1 -Test Probability $\mathrm{p}>0.5$

$$
p>0.5
$$

$$
p>0.5
$$

$$
\mathrm{p}<0.05
$$

$$
\mathrm{p}>0.5
$$

Students who studied instrumental music and vocal music together in Grade Nine had higher mean CAT-5 Total Mathematics scores then student who studied no music. There was no statistically significant difference in mean Total Mathematics scores between nonmusic students and any other category. The difference between the mean Total Mathematics scores of instrumental students and vocal music students in grade nine was not statistically significant according to the criteria established for this study.

Data presented in Chapter Four indicated that students involved in the developmental study of music had mean scores in Grade Ten for in the following categories conceptual categories tested by the California Achievement Test - Level 20 (CAT-5).

## Conceptual Category

Total Mathematics
Total Mathematics
Total Mathematics
Total Mathematics Total Mathematics

Compared Populations
Non-Music vs. All Music
Non-Music vs. Instrumental
Non-Music vs. Vocal
Non-Music vs. Instr. \& Vocal
Vocal Music vs. Instrumental
$\frac{1-\text { Test Probability }}{p<0.05}$

$$
\mathrm{p}<0.05
$$

$$
p>0.5
$$

$$
\mathrm{p}<0.1
$$

$$
\mathrm{p}<0.2
$$

Students who studied instrumental music as a component of their developmental music program had higher mean CAT-5 Total Mathematics scores then student who studied no music. This difference in mean scores was statistically significant to the $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ level for students who studied instrumental music only, and to the $\mathrm{p}<0.1$ level for student who studied both instrumental and vocal music.

Mean Total Mathematics scores of vocal music students and non-music students in grade Ten were nearly identical.

In Grade Nine there was no statistically significant difference in mean Total Mathematics scores in any category except for students who studied both instrumental and vocal music together. From grade Nine to Grade Ten, the mean difference in Total Mathematics scores for music students as compared to non-music students increased only for students involved in instrumental music. Further, the statistical significance of these mean scores increased from Grade Nine to Grade Ten. The difference in mean scores for students who studied vocal music only where no greater than for students who studied no music.

The results of these analyses can be interpreted as providing evidence that students with the longest exposure to the study of instrumental music had the highest mean Total Mathematics CAT-5 scores.

## Percent Change in Mean CAT-5 Scores <br> Grade Nine to Grade Ten <br> Total Battery

Data presented in Chapter Four indicated that students involved in the developmental study of music had mean percent score increases for in the following conceptual categories tested by the California Achicvement Test - Level 20 (CAT-5).

Conceptual Category

## Compared Populations

$t$ - Test Probability

Total Battery
Total Battery
Total Battery

## Total Battery

Non-Music vs. All Music
Non-Music vs. Instrumental
Non-Music vs. Vocal
Non-Music vs. Instr. \& Vocal

$$
\mathrm{p}<0.01
$$

$$
\mathrm{p}<0.05
$$

$$
\mathrm{p}<0.01
$$

$$
\mathrm{p}<0.05
$$

When mean percentage changes in CAT-5 Total Battery scores from Grade Nine to Grade Ten were subjected to $t$-Test analysis, score increases for students involved in the developmental study of music were greater than for students who were not involved in the study of music. These differences were statistically significant to at least the $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ level.

Mean CAT-5 scores for students in Grade Nine showed less differentiation between music and non-music students than did scores for Grade Ten students. The differentiation between music and non-music mean scores increased in Grade Ten most significantly for students who were involved in the developmental study of instrumental music.

An examination of mean CAT- 5 scores between Grades nine and ten (Appendix H )
reveals that students exposed to the developmental study of music experienced significant are in tura changes in mean scores from Grade Nine to Ten while student who were not involved in
 demonstrated relatively more static scores and in several cases, lower scores in the second "atain year of CAT-5 testing. Students involved only in vocal music began with lower scores in many categories and demonstrated many of the most dramatic increases. However, in no wituated tw. testing category did students studying only vocal music exceed scores attained by
 instrumental music students. Vocal music students' scores exceeded those on instrumental $\therefore \therefore$ Ust-Imat ? students only when vocal music student was combined with instrumental music in Grade Nine. In Grade Ten, scores for students studying instrumental music only where med Pomy is is consistently the highest of any other group suggesting that regardless of the starting point, the study of instrumental music has the most profound effect upon achievement and
 cognitive development.


## Conclusions

$$
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$$

A comparison of California Achievement Test Level 20 scores between students involved in and students notinvolved in the develomental study of music was conducted by comparing the scoters of A-cohort of 170 ninthogesdstudents to their scores attained a year later in grade tent iffthere studentag a 15 were involved in the developmental
 instrumental and vocal music.

The developmental study of music was defined as the study of music in a developmental, skill-buikdingrogtam: keading to thedabuisition of increasingly complex knowledge base andinczeasingy sophisticated skill deading to a goal of ensemble and/or solo performance. The, data $^{2}$ phesented here, excludesstudents involved in both instrumental and vocabmugiensones of masic students were compared to those of
students who were not involved in the high school music program. Students were not grouped by IQ , socio-economic, or any other instructional or demographic classifications.

Grade nine data shows that:

1. Vocal music students in grade nine had lower Mathematics Concepts and Applications and Total Mathematics scores than non-music students, and nearly identical Mathematics Computation scores.
2. In grade nine, vocal music students had nominally higher scores than non-music students in all other testing categories.
3. Instrumental music students had the highest grade nine scores in every testing category.

Grade ten data shows that:

1. In spite of lower grade nine scores in Mathematics Applications, vocal music students demonstrated dramatic improvement in all mathematics scores as compared to marginal improvement by non-music students.
2. Score improvement from grade nine to grade ten was significantly higher for music vocal music students than for non-music students.
3. Instrumental music students had the highest mean scores in both grade nine and grade ten.

The data does not refute the hypothesis that students who participate in developmental music programs demonstrate significantly higher increases in CAT-5 scores when compared to students who were not engaged in developmental music study. Further, the data presented could be interpreted to indicate that:

1. The developmental study of music enhances the ability to demonstrate proficiency to master the skills and concepts involved in language arts mechanics and expression, reading comprehension, reading vocabulary, mathematics computation, and mathematics concepts, and applications as assessed by the California Achievement Test Level 20.
2. Since instrumental music students generally became involved in their music studies at a younger age than vocal music students, the cognitive benefits of music study were accrued prior to testing in grade nine as reflected by their superior scores in each testing category. 3. Some vocal music students began their developmental music studies in the middle school years but most began in grade nine through participation in high school choir or chorus programs. The significant improvements in the scores of vocal music students attests to the powerful cognitive benefits to be derived from the developmental study of music just through April of grade ten.

California Achievement Test Mean Scores Grade 9 - Total Language


California Achievement Test Mean Scores
Grade 10 - Total Language



California Achievement Test Mean Scores Grade 10 - Total Reading


CAT-5 Mean Scores Grade 9 - Total Mathematics


CAT-5 Mean Scores Grade 10-Total Mathematics


CAT-5 Mean Scores
Grade 9 - Total Battery


CAT-5 Mean Scores
Grade 10-Total Battery


## Professional Growth

The researcher's experiences during the course of this study led to a number of interesting and enriching encounters. Excepts from Review of the Literature were used to support a music curriculum revision proposal that was approved by the Collingswood Publics Schools Board of Education and adopted at that school. An article base upon the literature review was submitted and accepted for publication by the National Association of Secondary School Principals' in their NASSP Bulletin. The article entitled "The Relationship Between Music and Academic Achievement, " appeared in the February, 1999 edition of that journal.

Board members from Glassboro Public Schools have expressed an interest in using the article in support of continued funding of their fine instrumental and vocal music programs.

The Iowa Bandmaster's Association has requested permission to reprint the article in their monthly journal.

The researcher hopes that the impact of this research will influence decision makers toward the realization that the developmental study of music enhances cognitive abilities in ways we are only beginning to comprehend. Further, the researchers hopes that data presented here will encourage school teachers and administrators to engage in their own research to confirm or refute the notions put forth in this thesis.

## Appendix A

## California Achievement Test

Grade Nine Individual Score Data

* All primary data has been provided in this and following appendices in the interest of reproducibility of these results. The researcher invites subsequent investigations and analysis of this data.

California Achievement Test: Individual Scores Grade Nine Data


| 32 | 0 | 793 | 790 | 792 | 804 | 797 | 801 | 812 | 798 | 805 | 799 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33 | 0 | 769 | 734 | 752 | 745 | 748 | 747 | 819 | 802 | 811 | 770 |
| 34 | 0 | 803 | 749 | 776 | 763 | 796 | 780 | 826 | 795 | 811 | 789 |
| 35 | 0 | 828 | 795 | 812 | 832 | 805 | 819 | 841 | 854 | 848 | 826 |
| 36 | 0 | 795 | 796 | 796 | 767 | 817 | 792 | 810 | 793 | 802 | 796 |
| 37 | 0 | 747 | 737 | 742 | 754 | 744 | 749 | 779 | 784 | 782 | 758 |
| 38 | 0 | 805 | 759 | 782 | 808 | 774 | 791 | 789 | 795 | 792 | 788 |
| 39 | 0 | 775 | 779 | 777 | 810 | 759 | 785 | 805 | 795 | 800 | 787 |
| 40 | 0 | 814 | 800 | 807 | 793 | 779 | 786 | 816 | 785 | 809 | 798 |
| 41 | 0 | 788 | 757 | 773 | 771 | 757 | 764 | 831 | 812 | 822 | 786 |
| 42 | 0 | 756 | 768 | 762 | 749 | 753 | 751 | 793 | 777 | 785 | 766 |
| 43 | 0 | 756 | 750 | 753 | 759 | 772 | 766 | 765 | 778 | 772 | 763 |
| 44 | 0 | 774 | 757 | 766 | 748 | 752 | 750 | 738 | 775 | 757 | 757 |
| 45 | 0 | 783 | 773 | 778 | 789 | 807 | 798 | 844 | 810 | 827 | 801 |
| 46 | 0 | 806 | 797 | 802 | 805 | 817 | 811 | 840 | 815 | 828 | 813 |
| 47 | 0 | 825 | 840 | 833 | 757 | 797 | 777 | 797 | 795 | 796 | 802 |
| 48 | 0 | 758 | 754 | 756 | 730 | 773 | 752 | 765 | 750 | 763 | 757 |
| 43 | 0 | 795 | 781 | 788 | 796 | 779 | 788 | 819 | 816 | 818 | 798 |
| 50 | 0 | 787 | 783 | 785 | 805 | 765 | 785 | 469 | 786 | 778 | 783 |
| 51 | 0 | 818 | 817 | 818 | 816 | 809 | 813 | 840 | 831 | 836 | 822 |
| 52 | 0 | 790 | 801 | 796 | 777 | 796 | 787 | 858 | 816 | 837 | 806 |
| 53 | 0 | 798 | 796 | 797 | 768 | 795 | 782 | 835 | 805 | 820 | 800 |
| 54 | 0 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 804 | 817 | 811 | 824 | 835 | 830 | 814 |
| 55 | 0 | 770 | 749 | 760 | 758 | 747 | 753 | 829 | 786 | 808 | 773 |
| 56 | 0 | 747 | 759 | 753 | 766 | 754 | 760 | 774 | 789 | 782 | 765 |
| 57 | 0 | 772 | 781 | 777 | 778 | 795 | 787 | 824 | 809 | 817 | 793 |
| 58 | 0 | 796 | 778 | 787 | 796 | 778 | 787 | 822 | 823 | 823 | 799 |
| 59 | 0 | 742 | 725 | 734 | 713 | 742 | 728 | 757 | 760 | 759 | 740 |
| 60 | 0 | 784 | 762 | 773 | 786 | 764 | 775 | 835 | 830 | 833 | 794 |
| 61 | 0 | 748 | 751 | 750 | 776 | 757 | 767 | 774 | 751 | 763 | 760 |
| 62 | 0 | 701 | 741 | 721 | 733 | 731 | 732 | 774 | 756 | 765 | 739 |
| 63 | 0 | 700 | 700 | 829 | 700 | 700 | 860 | 700 | 700 | 838 | 842 |
| 64 | 0 | 768 | 767 | 768 | 790 | 796 | 793 | 834 | 784 | 809 | 790 |
| 65 | 0 | 723 | 754 | 744 | 765 | 777 | 771 | 805 | 819 | 812 | 776 |
| 66 | 0 | 742 | 720 | 731 | 756 | 743 | 750 | 770 | 742 | 756 | 746 |
| 67 | 0 | 802 | 793 | 798 | 814 | 819 | 813 | 813 | 796 | 805 | 805 |
| 68 | 0 | 700 | 700 | 700 | 700 | 700 | 700 | 700 | 700 | 700 | 700 |
| 69 | 0 | 819 | 806 | 813 | 788 | 789 | 789 | 832 | 822 | 827 | 827 |
| 70 | 0 | 792 | 780 | 786 | 762 | 774 | 768 | 784 | 783 | 784 | 779 |
| 71 | 0 | 783 | 789 | 786 | 817 | 772 | 795 | 809 | 787 | 798 | 793 |
| 72 | 0 | 802 | 759 | 781 | 754 | 756 | 755 | 805 | 807 | 806 | 781 |
| 73 | 0 | 737 | 745 | 741 | 731 | 719 | 725 | 751 | 759 | 755 | 740 |
| 74 | 0 | 822 | 801 | 812 | 792 | 806 | 799 | 786 | 797 | 792 | 801 |
| 75 | 0 | 799 | 800 | 800 | 783 | 794 | 789 | 859 | 837 | 848 | 812 |
| 76 | 0 | 758 | 740 | 749 | 767 | 761 | 764 | 772 | 784 | 778 | 764 |
| 77 | 0 | 828 | 763 | 796 | 785 | 795 | 790 | 851 | 808 | 830 | 805 |
| 78 | 0 | 787 | 781 | 784 | 779 | 790 | 785 | 825 | 794 | 810 | 793 |


| 79 | 0 | 767 | 771 | 769 | 730 | 791 | 761 | 781 | 780 | 781 | 770 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80 | 0 | 769 | 760 | 765 | 759 | 771 | 765 | 851 | 823 | 837 | 789 |
| 81 | 0 | 752 | 733 | 743 | 738 | 717 | 728 | 815 | 807 | 811 | 760 |
| 82 | 0 | 794 | 766 | 780 | 802 | 836 | 819 | 841 | 829 | 835 | 811 |
| 83 | 0 | 826 | 816 | 821 | 785 | 818 | 802 | 841 | 822 | 832 | 818 |
| 84 | 0 | 788 | 795 | 792 | 798 | 821 | 810 | 808 | 804 | 806 | 802 |
| 85 | 0 | 782 | 779 | 781 | 808 | 824 | 816 | 871 | 816 | 844 | 813 |
| 86 | 0 | 844 | 898 | 871 | 793 | 863 | 828 | 853 | 834 | 844 | 848 |
| 87 | 0 | 840 | 799 | 820 | 870 | 877 | 874 | 862 | 880 | 871 | 855 |
| 88 | 0 | 742 | 744 | 743 | 740 | 718 | 729 | 769 | 779 | 774 | 749 |
| 89 | 0 | 792 | 788 | 790 | 799 | 806 | 803 | 803 | 829 | 816 | 803 |
| 90 | 0 | 813 | 774 | 794 | 800 | 819 | 810 | 839 | 794 | 817 | 807 |
| 91 | 0 | 757 | 774 | 766 | 755 | 785 | 770 | 818 | 806 | 812 | 783 |
| 92 | 0 | 751 | 788 | 770 | 776 | 760 | 768 | 774 | 789 | 782 | 773 |
| 93 | 0 | 787 | 771 | 779 | 773 | 780 | 777 | 845 | 835 | 840 | 799 |
| 94 | 0 | 741 | 753 | 747 | 761 | 736 | 749 | 781 | 771 | 776 | 757 |
| 95 | 0 | 818 | 813 | 816 | 779 | 809 | 794 | 844 | 828 | 836 | 815 |
| 96 | 0 | 838 | 822 | 830 | 809 | 823 | 816 | 839 | 853 | 846 | 831 |
| 97 | 0 | 776 | 810 | 793 | 783 | 787 | 785 | 807 | 812 | 810 | 796 |
| 98 | 0 | 793 | 787 | 790 | 767 | 788 | 778 | 762 | 804 | 783 | 784 |
| 99 | 0 | 855 | 787 | 821 | 798 | 804 | 801 | 809 | 826 | 818 | 813 |
| 100 | 0 | 792 | 794 | 793 | 756 | 756 | 756 | 779 | 787 | 783 | 777 |
| 101 | 0 | 812 | 823 | 818 | 775 | 814 | 795 | 837 | 818 | 828 | 813 |
| 102 | 0 | 751 | 777 | 764 | 750 | 755 | 753 | 772 | 805 | 789 | 768 |
| 103 | 0 | 745 | 729 | 737 | 781 | 752 | 767 | 814 | 788 | 801 | 768 |
| 104 | 0 | 664 | 756 | 710 | 740 | 748 | 744 | 781 | 791 | 786 | 747 |
| 105 | 0 | 791 | 821 | 806 | 752 | 792 | 777 | 786 | 786 | 786 | 790 |
| 106 | 0 | 833 | 827 | 830 | 775 | 828 | 802 | 852 | 865 | 859 | 830 |
| 107 | 0 | 815 | 817 | 816 | 845 | 829 | 837 | 845 | 842 | 844 | 832 |
| 108 | 0 | 809 | 801 | 805 | 768 | 785 | 777 | 804 | 803 | 804 | 795 |
| 109 | 0 | 752 | 760 | 756 | 676 | 762 | 719 | 728 | 720 | 724 | 733 |
| 110 | 0 | 800 | 779 | 790 | 805 | 767 | 786 | 829 | 839 | 834 | 803 |
| 111 | 0 | 794 | 806 | 800 | 783 | 779 | 781 | 843 | 817 | 830 | 804 |
| 112 | 0 | 828 | 766 | 797 | 783 | 837 | 810 | 854 | 837 | 846 | 818 |
| 113 | 0 | 803 | 791 | 797 | 834 | 829 | 832 | 830 | 809 | 820 | 816 |
| 114 | 0 | 738 | 747 | 743 | 764 | 775 | 770 | 825 | 799 | 812 | 775 |
| 115 | 0 | 802 | 808 | 805 | 783 | 812 | 798 | 829 | 861 | 845 | 816 |
| 116 | 0 | 846 | 836 | 841 | 809 | 822 | 816 | 889 | 832 | 861 | 839 |
| 117 | 0 | 807 | 786 | 797 | 795 | 811 | 803 | 842 | 803 | 823 | 807 |
| 118 | 0 | 810 | 798 | 804 | 832 | 798 | 815 | 879 | 802 | 841 | 820 |
| 119 | 0 | 776 | 771 | 774 | 733 | 754 | 744 | 768 | 765 | 767 | 769 |
| 120 | 0 | 782 | 768 | 775 | 742 | 756 | 749 | 788 | 775 | 782 | 769 |
| 121 | 0 | 740 | 747 | 744 | 790 | 753 | 772 | 825 | 771 | 798 | 771 |
| 122 | 0 | 791 | 771 | 781 | 781 | 772 | 777 | 794 | 776 | 785 | 781 |
| 123 | 0 | 811 | 776 | 794 | 772 | 801 | 787 | 836 | 835 | 836 | 805 |
| 124 | 0 | 803 | 828 | 816 | 791 | 816 | 804 | 83 | 82 | 83 | 567 |
| 125 | 0 | 758 | 776 | 767 | 764 | 783 | 774 | 776 | 774 | 775 | 772 |
| 126 | 0 | 770 | 783 | 777 | 734 | 761 | 748 | 808 | 809 | 809 | 778 |


| 127 | 0 | 764 | 796 | 780 | 758 | 782 | 770 | 770 | 779 | 775 | 775 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 128 | 0 | 786 | 749 | 768 | 752 | 757 | 755 | 776 | 771 | 774 | 765 |
| 129 | 0 | 811 | 789 | 800 | 793 | 825 | 809 | 823 | 853 | 838 | 816 |
| 130 | 0 | 798 | 794 | 796 | 769 | 772 | 771 | 785 | 799 | 752 | 786 |
| 131 | 1 | 872 | 886 | 879 | 847 | 849 | 848 | 889 | 857 | 873 | 867 |
| 132 | 1 | 782 | 782 | 782 | 810 | 835 | 823 | 823 | 814 | 819 | 808 |
| 133 | 1 | 787 | 793 | 790 | 820 | 793 | 807 | 832 | 838 | 835 | 811 |
| 134 | 1 | 907 | 861 | 884 | 810 | 826 | 818 | 846 | 832 | 839 | 847 |
| 135 | 1 | 837 | 805 | 821 | 786 | 813 | 800 | 850 | 886 | 868 | 830 |
| 136 | 1 | 832 | 806 | 819 | 801 | 834 | 818 | 823 | 790 | 807 | 814 |
| 137 | 1 | 828 | 797 | 813 | 932 | 824 | 878 | 858 | 835 | 847 | 846 |
| 138 | 1 | 767 | 760 | 764 | 784 | 784 | 784 | 848 | 816 | 832 | 793 |
| 139 | 1 | 737 | 751 | 744 | 753 | 752 | 753 | 799 | 78 | 439 | 645 |
| 140 | 1 | 824 | 802 | 813 | 796 | 813 | 805 | 871 | 801 | 836 | 818 |
| 141 | 1 | 785 | 746 | 766 | 782 | 768 | 775 | 811 | 805 | 808 | 783 |
| 142 | 1 | 747 | 759 | 753 | 799 | 762 | 781 | 800 | 821 | 811 | 781 |
| 143 | 1 | 816 | 808 | 812 | 809 | 822 | 816 | 880 | 869 | 875 | 834 |
| 144 | 1 | 805 | 808 | 807 | 765 | 774 | 770 | 817 | 780 | 799 | 792 |
| 145 | 1 | 814 | 809 | 812 | 784 | 796 | 790 | 822 | 813 | 818 | 806 |
| 146 | 2 | 838 | 823 | 831 | 838 | 821 | 830 | 833 | 828 | 831 | 830 |
| 147 | 2 | 759 | 753 | 756 | 767 | 763 | 765 | 756 | 760 | 758 | 760 |
| 148 | 2 | 772 | 775 | 774 | 767 | 778 | 773 | 760 | 759 | 760 | 769 |
| 149 | 2 | 790 | 783 | 787 | 790 | 777 | 784 | 860 | 797 | 829 | 800 |
| 150 | 2 | 745 | 754 | 750 | 750 | 736 | 743 | 769 | 754 | 762 | 751 |
| 151 | 2 | 853 | 800 | 827 | 815 | 825 | 820 | 857 | 835 | 846 | 831 |
| 152 | 2 | 781 | 765 | 773 | 767 | 809 | 788 | 823 | 794 | 809 | 790 |
| 153 | 2 | 804 | 791 | 798 | 821 | 810 | 816 | 851 | 871 | 861 | 825 |
| 154 | 2 | 809 | 804 | 807 | 932 | 814 | 873 | 814 | 833 | 824 | 834 |
| 155 | 2 | 755 | 750 | 753 | 736 | 753 | 745 | 746 | 721 | 734 | 744 |
| 156 | 2 | 786 | 775 | 781 | 799 | 781 | 790 | 881 | 840 | 861 | 810 |
| 157 | 2 | 907 | 835 | 871 | 794 | 825 | 810 | 797 | 834 | 816 | 832 |
| 158 | 2 | 777 | 768 | 773 | 781 | 784 | 783 | 796 | 767 | 782 | 779 |
| 159 | 2 | 742 | 800 | 771 | 736 | 777 | 757 | 772 | 776 | 774 | 767 |
| 160 | 2 | 767 | 770 | 769 | 737 | 754 | 746 | 813 | 771 | 792 | 769 |
| 161 | 2 | 793 | 785 | 789 | 767 | 801 | 784 | 807 | 812 | 810 | 794 |
| 162 | 3 | 794 | 774 | 784 | 785 | 797 | 791 | 773 | 759 | 766 | 780 |
| 163 | 3 | 808 | 828 | 818 | 932 | 831 | 882 | 892 | 838 | 865 | 855 |
| 164 | 3 | 802 | 855 | 829 | 896 | 823 | 860 | 855 | 821 | 838 | 842 |
| 165 | 3 | 843 | 815 | 829 | 823 | 849 | 836 | 879 | 850 | 865 | 843 |
| 166 | 3 | 789 | 754 | 772 | 813 | 799 | 806 | 843 | 794 | 819 | 799 |
| 167 | 3 | 813 | 831 | 822 | 787 | 806 | 797 | 793 | 795 | 794 | 804 |
| 168 | 3 | 907 | 838 | 873 | 844 | 832 | 838 | 881 | 816 | 849 | 853 |
| 169 | 3 | 907 | 853 | 880 | 870 | 849 | 860 | 833 | 838 | 836 | 858 |
| 170 | 3 | 807 | 781 | 794 | 796 | 792 | 794 | 818 | 811 | 815 | 801 |

## Appendix B

## California Achievement Test


#### Abstract

Grade Ten Individual Score Data


## Grade Ten Data



| 33 | 0 | 788 | 759 | 774 | 784 | 702 | 743 | 805 | 802 | 804 | 773 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34 | 0 | 788 | 773 | 781 | 766 | 797 | 782 | 816 | 819 | 818 | 793 |
| 35 | 0 | 823 | 818 | 821 | 788 | 809 | 799 | 854 | 862 | 858 | 826 |
| 36 | 0 | 797 | 786 | 792 | 843 | 804 | 824 | 820 | 781 | 801 | 805 |
| 37 | 0 | 783 | 752 | 768 | 782 | 747 | 765 | 803 | 808 | 806 | 779 |
| 38 | 0 | 774 | 752 | 763 | 765 | 793 | 779 | 783 | 802 | 793 | 778 |
| 39 | 0 | 782 | 791 | 787 | 799 | 785 | 792 | 824 | 810 | 817 | 799 |
| 40 | 0 | 833 | 770 | 802 | 798 | 780 | 789 | 814 | 792 | 803 | 798 |
| 41 | 0 | 776 | 749 | 763 | 724 | 753 | 739 | 831 | 829 | 830 | 777 |
| 42 | 0 | 755 | 778 | 767 | 751 | 754 | 753 | 767 | 785 | 776 | 765 |
| 43 | 0 | 763 | 782 | 773 | 757 | 768 | 763 | 791 | 789 | 790 | 775 |
| 44 | 0 | 776 | 762 | 769 | 734 | 743 | 739 | 770 | 775 | 773 | 760 |
| 45 | 0 | 803 | 807 | 805 | 792 | 806 | 799 | 788 | 824 | 806 | 803 |
| 46 | 0 | 803 | 794 | 799 | 831 | 797 | 814 | 882 | 850 | 856 | 823 |
| 47 | 0 | 827 | 803 | 815 | 767 | 803 | 785 | 797 | 808 | 803 | 801 |
| 48 | 0 | 782 | 759 | 771 | 771 | 755 | 763 | 794 | 752 | 773 | 769 |
| 49 | 0 | 796 | 791 | 794 | 811 | 806 | 809 | 803 | 805 | 804 | 802 |
| 50 | 0 | 773 | 765 | 769 | 788 | 786 | 787 | 784 | 789 | 787 | 781 |
| 51 | 0 | 838 | 830 | 834 | 856 | 822 | 839 | 876 | 891 | 884 | 852 |
| 52 | 0 | 835 | 838 | 837 | 784 | 836 | 810 | 813 | 821 | 817 | 821 |
| 53 | 0 | 799 | 806 | 803 | 767 | 812 | 790 | 870 | 839 | 855 | 816 |
| 54 | 0 | 826 | 819 | 823 | 814 | 836 | 825 | 841 | 820 | 831 | 826 |
| 55 | 0 | 790 | 753 | 772 | 696 | 784 | 740 | 810 | 800 | 805 | 772 |
| 56 | 0 | 760 | 791 | 776 | 767 | 753 | 760 | 825 | 817 | 821 | 786 |
| 57 | 0 | 804 | 819 | 812 | 758 | 833 | 796 | 860 | 870 | 865 | 824 |
| 58 | 0 | 786 | 825 | 806 | 804 | 802 | 803 | 809 | 852 | 831 | 813 |
| 59 | 0 | 773 | 757 | 765 | 712 | 737 | 725 | 749 | 758 | 754 | 748 |
| 60 | 0 | 794 | 767 | 781 | 792 | 780 | 786 | 827 | 839 | 833 | 800 |
| 61 | 0 | 769 | 785 | 777 | 791 | 755 | 773 | 833 | 792 | 813 | 788 |
| 62 | 0 | 733 | 734 | 734 | 701 | 720 | 711 | 777 | 770 | 774 | 739 |
| 63 | 0 | 846 | 852 | 849 | 814 | 835 | 825 | 891 | 868 | 880 | 851 |
| 64 | 0 | 795 | 785 | 790 | 787 | 804 | 796 | 816 | 791 | 804 | 796 |
| 65 | 0 | 764 | 763 | 764 | 789 | 779 | 784 | 814 | 843 | 829 | 792 |
| 66 | 0 | 725 | 738 | 732 | 771 | 758 | 765 | 761 | 754 | 758 | 751 |
| 67 | 0 | 800 | 824 | 812 | 807 | 837 | 822 | 811 | 806 | 809 | 814 |
| 68 | 0 | 765 | 754 | 760 | 775 | 764 | 770 | 782 | 790 | 786 | 772 |
| 69 | 0 | 805 | 787 | 796 | 776 | 805 | 791 | 805 | 804 | 805 | 797 |
| 70 | 0 | 810 | 816 | 813 | 784 | 814 | 799 | 831 | 808 | 820 | 811 |
| 71 | 0 | 790 | 799 | 795 | 784 | 798 | 792 | 810 | 812 | 811 | 799 |
| 72 | 0 | 801 | 808 | 805 | 766 | 772 | 769 | 795 | 803 | 799 | 791 |
| 73 | 0 | 734 | 711 | 723 | 747 | 734 | 741 | 737 | 750 | 744 | 736 |
| 74 | 0 | 833 | 826 | 830 | 822 | 809 | 816 | 801 | 798 | 800 | 815 |
| 75 | 0 | 818 | 821 | 820 | 773 | 823 | 798 | 933 | 861 | 897 | 838 |
| 76 | 0 | 771 | 766 | 769 | 774 | 762 | 768 | 781 | 777 | 779 | 772 |
| 77 | 0 | 806 | 789 | 798 | 771 | 788 | 780 | 826 | 816 | 821 | 799 |
| 78 | 0 | 811 | 809 | 810 | 766 | 824 | 795 | 813 | 819 | 816 | 807 |
| 79 | 0 | 811 | 821 | 816 | 752 | 802 | 777 | 799 | 827 | 813 | 802 |


| 80 | 0 | 774 | 781 | 778 | 766 | 771 | 769 | 830 | 813 | 822 | 789 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81 | 0 | 774 | 720 | 747 | 718 | 736 | 727 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 755 |
| 82 | 0 | 821 | 828 | 825 | 842 | 798 | 820 | 870 | 850 | 850 | 835 |
| 83 | 0 | 826 | 830 | 828 | 776 | 875 | 826 | 824 | 847 | 836 | 830 |
| 84 | 0 | 816 | 797 | 807 | 835 | 829 | 832 | 844 | 816 | 830 | 823 |
| 85 | 0 | 808 | 791 | 800 | 842 | 792 | 817 | 820 | 839 | 830 | 815 |
| 86 | 0 | 887 | 831 | 859 | 786 | 892 | 839 | 861 | 830 | 846 | 848 |
| 87 | 0 | 888 | 834 | 861 | 939 | 830 | 885 | 873 | 848 | 861 | 869 |
| 88 | 0 | 749 | 737 | 743 | 746 | 750 | 748 | 792 | 761 | 777 | 756 |
| 89 | 0 | 817 | 791 | 804 | 831 | 808 | 820 | 832 | 828 | 830 | 818 |
| 90 | 0 | 806 | 832 | 819 | 816 | 831 | 824 | 822 | 826 | 824 | 822 |
| 91 | 0 | 784 | 765 | 775 | 771 | 779 | 775 | 806 | 825 | 816 | 788 |
| 92 | 0 | 770 | 779 | 775 | 806 | 774 | 790 | 792 | 803 | 798 | 787 |
| 93 | 0 | 807 | 807 | 807 | 760 | 789 | 775 | 859 | 852 | 856 | 812 |
| 94 | 0 | 742 | 752 | 747 | 785 | 753 | 769 | 807 | 797 | 802 | 773 |
| 95 | 0 | 819 | 789 | 804 | 779 | 792 | 786 | 830 | 826 | 828 | 806 |
| 96 | 0 | 868 | 846 | 857 | 863 | 826 | 845 | 855 | 875 | 865 | 856 |
| 97 | 0 | 807 | 800 | 804 | 786 | 788 | 787 | 794 | 822 | 808 | 800 |
| 98 | 0 | 817 | 797 | 807 | 788 | 814 | 809 | 795 | 811 | 803 | 804 |
| 99 | 0 | 874 | 846 | 860 | 806 | 852 | 829 | 849 | 822 | 836 | 842 |
| 100 | 0 | 795 | 793 | 794 | 729 | 792 | 761 | 798 | 805 | 802 | 785 |
| 101 | 0 | 824 | 816 | 820 | 774 | 811 | 793 | 836 | 822 | 829 | 814 |
| 102 | 0 | 758 | 756 | 757 | 773 | 757 | 765 | 807 | 783 | 795 | 772 |
| 103 | 0 | 733 | 758 | 746 | 791 | 748 | 770 | 816 | 806 | 811 | 775 |
| 104 | 0 | 748 | 787 | 768 | 759 | 766 | 763 | 788 | 774 | 781 | 770 |
| 105 | 0 | 801 | 787 | 794 | 752 | 793 | 773 | 773 | 767 | 770 | 779 |
| 106 | 0 | 855 | 828 | 842 | 824 | 901 | 863 | 903 | 892 | 898 | 867 |
| 107 | 0 | 838 | 831 | 835 | 810 | 830 | 820 | 842 | 842 | 842 | 832 |
| 108 | 0 | 817 | 821 | 819 | 752 | 811 | 782 | 818 | 834 | 826 | 809 |
| 109 | 0 | 738 | 761 | 750 | 730 | 782 | 756 | 794 | 782 | 788 | 765 |
| 110 | 0 | 804 | 633 | 719 | 798 | 798 | 798 | 831 | 823 | 827 | 781 |
| 111 | 0 | 804 | 818 | 811 | 787 | 781 | 784 | 870 | 823 | 847 | 814 |
| 112 | 0 | 826 | 808 | 817 | 783 | 790 | 787 | 831 | 831 | 831 | 812 |
| 113 | 0 | 809 | 808 | 809 | 833 | 815 | 824 | 853 | 854 | 854 | 829 |
| 114 | 0 | 780 | 763 | 772 | 770 | 758 | 764 | 812 | 806 | 809 | 782 |
| 115 | 0 | 815 | 811 | 813 | 774 | 783 | 779 | 838 | 849 | 844 | 812 |
| 116 | 0 | 826 | 815 | 821 | 809 | 821 | 815 | 857 | 847 | 852 | 829 |
| 117 | 0 | 809 | 799 | 804 | 817 | 776 | 797 | 851 | 849 | 850 | 817 |
| 118 | 0 | 811 | 817 | 814 | 833 | 850 | 842 | 852 | 834 | 843 | 833 |
| 119 | 0 | 777 | 774 | 776 | 753 | 763 | 758 | 772 | 772 | 772 | 769 |
| 120 | 0 | 799 | 797 | 798 | 773 | 791 | 782 | 808 | 792 | 800 | 793 |
| 121 | 0 | 770 | 763 | 767 | 771 | 767 | 769 | 814 | 753 | 784 | 773 |
| 122 | 0 | 803 | 807 | 805 | 777 | 786 | 782 | 786 | 791 | 789 | 792 |
| 123 | 0 | 724 | 672 | 698 | 683 | 683 | 683 | 763 | 770 | 767 | 716 |
| 124 | 0 | 834 | 832 | 833 | 792 | 837 | 815 | 798 | 822 | 810 | 818 |
| 125 | 0 | 756 | 801 | 779 | 757 | 765 | 761 | 813 | 789 | 801 | 780 |
| 126 | 0 | 795 | 778 | 787 | 760 | 752 | 756 | 800 | 801 | 801 | 781 |
| 127 | 0 | 791 | 822 | 807 | 751 | 769 | 760 | 786 | 790 | 788 | 785 |
| 128 | 0 | 770 | 761 | 766 | 728 | 719 | 724 | 768 | 784 | 776 | 755 |


| 129 | 0 | 812 | 795 | 804 | 816 | 852 | 834 | 865 | 888 | 877 | 838 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 130 | 0 | 822 | 811 | 817 | 778 | 766 | 772 | 793 | 800 | 797 | 795 |
| 131 | 1 | 852 | 838 | 845 | 894 | 846 | 870 | 878 | 868 | 873 | 863 |
| 132 | 1 | 812 | 818 | 801 | 801 | 836 | 839 | 855 | 839 | 847 | 829 |
| 133 | 1 | 816 | 813 | 815 | 833 | 823 | 828 | 842 | 822 | 832 | 825 |
| 134 | 1 | 838 | 842 | 840 | 793 | 826 | 844 | 846 | 833 | 844 | 843 |
| 135 | 1 | 854 | 927 | 896 | 939 | 843 | 891 | 874 | 879 | 877 | 888 |
| 136 | 1 | 826 | 803 | 815 | 841 | 807 | 824 | 844 | 799 | 822 | 820 |
| 137 | 1 | 843 | 860 | 852 | 939 | 921 | 930 | 890 | 841 | 866 | 882 |
| 138 | 1 | 774 | 761 | 768 | 777 | 788 | 783 | 824 | 833 | 829 | 793 |
| 139 | 1 | 743 | 718 | 731 | 727 | 740 | 734 | 791 | 792 | 792 | 752 |
| 140 | 1 | 860 | 826 | 843 | 896 | 886 | 891 | 864 | 818 | 841 | 858 |
| 141 | 1 | 776 | 764 | 770 | 779 | 790 | 785 | 799 | 800 | 800 | 785 |
| 142 | 1 | 769 | 769 | 769 | 763 | 727 | 745 | 761 | 768 | 765 | 760 |
| 143 | 1 | 826 | 797 | 812 | 810 | 832 | 821 | 873 | 912 | 893 | 842 |
| 144 | 1 | 806 | 816 | 811 | 788 | 810 | 799 | 804 | 798 | 801 | 804 |
| 145 | 1 | 819 | 824 | 822 | 802 | 819 | 811 | 826 | 810 | 818 | 817 |
| 146 | 2 | 815 | 819 | 817 | 850 | 821 | 836 | 858 | 861 | 860 | 837 |
| 147 | 2 | 779 | 777 | 778 | 763 | 770 | 767 | 786 | 773 | 780 | 775 |
| 148 | 2 | 757 | 775 | 789 | 749 | 778 | 770 | 777 | 759 | 768 | 776 |
| 149 | 2 | 796 | 841 | 819 | 810 | 824 | 817 | 799 | 819 | 809 | 815 |
| 150 | 2 | 735 | 760 | 748 | 727 | 764 | 746 | 809 | 766 | 788 | 760 |
| 151 | 2 | 874 | 815 | 845 | 939 | 838 | 889 | 847 | 897 | 872 | 868 |
| 152 | 2 | 798 | 799 | 799 | 794 | 798 | 796 | 817 | 798 | 807 | 800 |
| 153 | 2 | 825 | 828 | 827 | 939 | 921 | 930 | 844 | 852 | 848 | 868 |
| 154 | 2 | 799 | 816 | 808 | 939 | 846 | 893 | 894 | 845 | 870 | 857 |
| 155 | 2 | 768 | 764 | 766 | 744 | 744 | 744 | 751 | 757 | 754 | 755 |
| 156 | 2 | 789 | 788 | 789 | 813 | 793 | 803 | 883 | 851 | 867 | 820 |
| 157 | 2 | 887 | 855 | 871 | 811 | 832 | 822 | 831 | 835 | 833 | 842 |
| 158 | 2 | 821 | 784 | 803 | 842 | 799 | 821 | 786 | 769 | 778 | 800 |
| 159 | 2 | 781 | 779 | 780 | 738 | 771 | 755 | 775 | 767 | 771 | 769 |
| 160 | 2 | 785 | 796 | 791 | 759 | 782 | 771 | 801 | 792 | 797 | 786 |
| 161 | 2 | 818 | 797 | 808 | 779 | 827 | 803 | 816 | 820 | 818 | 810 |
| 162 | 3 | 822 | 824 | 823 | 767 | 796 | 782 | 760 | 735 | 748 | 784 |
| 163 | 3 | 821 | 827 | 824 | 841 | 827 | 834 | 871 | 826 | 849 | 836 |
| 164 | 3 | 831 | 828 | 830 | 939 | 828 | 884 | 933 | 837 | 885 | 866 |
| 165 | 3 | 861 | 831 | 846 | 939 | 887 | 913 | 933 | 904 | 919 | 893 |
| 166 | 3 | 786 | 773 | 780 | 809 | 802 | 806 | 835 | 815 | 825 | 803 |
| 167 | 3 | 850 | 820 | 835 | 796 | 833 | 815 | 788 | 802 | 795 | 815 |
| 168 | 3 | 838 | 819 | 829 | 939 | 849 | 894 | 933 | 870 | 902 | 875 |
| 169 | 3 | 841 | 842 | 842 | 939 | 848 | 894 | 861 | 878 | 870 | 868 |
| 170 | 3 | 807 | 809 | 808 | 803 | 816 | 810 | 821 | 813 | 817 | 812 |

## Appendix C

## California Achievement Test

## Mean Score and Score Change Data

From Grade Nine to Grade Ten

## California Achievement Test Data Analysis Mean Scores by Music Involvement

| Grode Nre Date | Resoing Voceb. | Resding Comp. | Resding Total | Language Mech. | Language Expr | Lenguage Tots | Math Compu. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Maxh } \\ & \operatorname{c\& A} \end{aligned}$ | Math Total | Total Battery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Studerts | 788.94 | 701.50 | 786.06 | 701.68 | 78646 | 765.06 | 810.41 | 801.82 | 807.91 | 793.09 |
| Non-music | 780.31 | 77.22 | 781.30 | 774.34 | 782.00 | 77918 | 005.30 | 799.29 | 804.81 | 788.57 |
| Instumertal | 609.40 | 798.20 | 00300 | 105.20 | 003.00 | 601.10 | 897.93 | 823.00 | 03047 | 612.78 |
| Charel | 792.38 | 783.18 | 787.1 | 787.31 | 788.00 | 787.58 | 008.4 | 797.00 | 809.76 | 792.74 |
| Inst + Chord | 830,00 | 814.33 | 82222 | 830.44 | 819.78 | 829.17 | 040.78 | 813.56 | 627.17 | 626.15 |
| All Music | 801.23 | 795.83 | 801.55 | 805.53 | 800.78 | 803.16 | 826.78 | 81048 | 818.64 | 607.78 |
| Gaco Ten Data | Resding Vocob. | Reeding Comp. | Reading Totd | Language Mech. | Lenguags Expl | Lenguage Total | Math Compu. | Math C\&A | Math <br> Yotal | Total Betery |
| All Studerts | 800.59 | 794.54 | 797.87 | 793.25 | 796.30 | 706.36 | 820.00 | 893.75 | 878.90 | 803.31 |
| Non-mustc | 796.87 | 790.36 | 793.62 | 782.32 | 769.87 | 786.40 | 815.54 | 811.44 | 813.49 | 797.03 |
| Instiumertal | 069.73 | 885.80 | 066.83 | 877.33 | 870.67 | 671.67 | 890.93 | 880.80 | 886.17 | 876.89 |
| Choral | \$53.06 | 851.06 | 853.50 | 060.19 | 856.50 | 858.75 | 064.83 | 855.88 | 860.25 | 857.50 |
| hast + Chord | 828.56 | 819.22 | 62309 | 063.56 | 831.78 | 647.61 | 850.4 | 031.11 | 845.28 | 839.94 |
| An Music | 812.70 | 809.55 | 810.85 | 628.75 | 817.20 | 624.51 | 834,50 | 821.29 | 828.00 | 821.12 |

## Change in CAT-5 Scores from Grade $9=>10$

|  | Resokng Vocab. | Reading Comp. | Foodry Total | Lenguage Mech. | Languege Expr | Longuage Total | Meth Compu. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Math } \\ & \text { C\&A } \end{aligned}$ | Mrth Total | Totel Battery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Studarts | 11.66 | 13.04 | 11.61 | 11.57 | 9.84 | 10.31 | 9.59 | 11.83 | 8.99 | 10.22 |
| Non-musle | 13.56 | 13.14 | 12.32 | 7.98 | 7.81 | 6.92 | 10.16 | 12.15 | 0.88 | 8.26 |
| \|nsticmertal | 60.33 | 67.60 | 63.03 | 72.13 | 67.57 | 73.57 | 53.00 | 5780 | 55.70 | 64.10 |
| Chorel | 60.89 | 87.88 | 65.69 | 12.88 | 68.50 | 71.08 | 58.19 | 58.68 | 57.50 | 64.76 |
| Erst + Choral | -1/4 | 4.89 | 1.67 | 25.11 | 12.00 | 18.50 | 18.87 | 17.56 | 18.11 | 12.80 |
| All Music | 5.48 | 12.72 | 9.30 | 23.23 | 16.43 | 21.35 | 7.73 | 10.80 | 9.36 | 13.35 |

Percent Change in CAT-5 Scores from Grade $9=>10$

| All Studernts | 148 | 1.67 | 146 | 1.48 | 1.25 | 1.31 | 1.18 | 1.48 | 1.11 | 1.29 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-musle | 1.73 | 1.69 | 1.58 | 1.03 | 1.00 | 0.89 | 1.26 | 1.52 | 1.10 | 1.17 |
| Trstiumerta | 7.45 | 6.47 | 784 | 8.96 | 8.43 | 9.15 | 6.33 | 7.02 | 6.71 | 7.89 |
| Chorel | 7.86 | 6.67 | B.34 | 9.28 | 8.89 | 0.03 | 6.95 | 7.39 | 7.16 | 8.17 |
| thist + Chord | . 0.17 | 0.80 | 0.20 | 2.98 | 1.46 | 2.23 | 2.22 | 2.16 | 2.19 | 1.55 |
| Al Music | 0.68 | 1.60 | 1.18 | 2.00 | 2.05 | 2.68 | 0.93 | 1.30 | 1.14 | 1.65 |

## Appendix D

## California Acheivement Test

## Individual Score Changes from

## Grade Nine to Grade Ten

California Achievement Test
Individual Score Changes Grade $9=>10$

|  | Fead Voceb. | Pead Comp. | Resd <br> Total | Lang Moch | Lang Expr | Leng <br> Tatal | Meth Compu | Math C8A | Math <br> Total | Total Bottery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Al Studerts Nor-Music thstrumertal Vocal Inst + Vocal ABMusic | 11.68 | 13.04 | 11.61 | 11.57 | 0.84 | 10.31 | 0.58 | 11.83 | 8.99 | 10.22 |
|  | 13.56 | 13.14 | 12.32 | 7.08 | 7.81 | 6.82 | 10.16 | 12.15 | 8.88 | 9.26 |
|  | 5.53 | 13.53 | 8.60 | 20.27 | 18.80 | 22.10 | 0.13 | 4.47 | 2.60 | 17.10 |
|  | 0.31 | 16.38 | 14.25 | 24.94 | 18.75 | 22.25 | 8.68 | 12.84 | 10.78 | 15.76 |
|  | -1.44 | 4.89 | 1.67 | 25.11 | 12.00 | 18.50 | 18.87 | 17.56 | 18.11 | 12.80 |
|  | 5.48 | 12.73 | 8.30 | 23.23 | 16.43 | 21.35 | 7.73 | 10.80 | 9.36 | 13.35 |


| \# | Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | -3.00 | 28.00 | 12.50 | 84.00 | 2.00 | 33.00 | 11.00 | -7.00 | 2.00 | 15.83 |
| 2 | 0 | -18.00 | 20.00 | 1.00 | 25.00 | 13.00 | 19.00 | -9.00 | 12.00 | 1.50 | 7.17 |
| 3 | 0 | 30.00 | 50.00 | 40.00 | 8.00 | 34.00 | 21.00 | -1.00 | 41.00 | 20.00 | 27.00 |
| 4 | 0 | 11.00 | -4.00 | 3.50 | 6.00 | -3.00 | 1.50 | 13.00 | 17.00 | 15.00 | 6.67 |
| 5 | 0 | 2.00 | 6.00 | 4.00 | 26.00 | -31.00 | -2.50 | 11.00 | 33.00 | 22.00 | 7.83 |
| 6 | 0 | 11.00 | 16.00 | 13.50 | 27.00 | 20.00 | 23.50 | 13.00 | 7.00 | 10.00 | 15.67 |
| 7 | 0 | 7.00 | 6.00 | 6.50 | -4.00 | -24.00 | -14.00 | -32.00 | -12.00 | -22.00 | -8.83 |
| 8 | 0 | 6.00 | 35.00 | 20.50 | 13.00 | -30.00 | 11.00 | 35.00 | 0.00 | 17.50 | 16.33 |
| 8 | 0 | -5.00 | -2.00 | -3.50 | 24.00 | 8.00 | 36.00 | 45.00 | 3.00 | 24.00 | 18.83 |
| 10 | 0 | 24.00 | 18.00 | 21.50 | -35.00 | 25.00 | -5.00 | 0.00 | 14.00 | 7.00 | 7.83 |
| 11 | 0 | 43.00 | 15.00 | 29.00 | 25.00 | 36.00 | 30.50 | -1.00 | 27.00 | 13.00 | 24.17 |
| 12 | 0 | 13.00 | 24.00 | 18.50 | 20.00 | 35.00 | 27.50 | 0.00 | $-8.00$ | -1.00 | 15.00 |
| 13 | 0 | 30.00 | 37.00 | 33.50 | -3.00 | 25.00 | 11.00 | -19.00 | 23.00 | 2.00 | 15.50 |
| 14 | 0 | 8.00 | 5.00 | 6.50 | -36.00 | -24.00 | -30.00 | -60.00 | -20.00 | -40.00 | .21.17 |
| 15 | 0 | 18.00 | 6.00 | 12.00 | 50.00 | 21.00 | 35.50 | 6.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 17.50 |
| 16 | 0 | 11.00 | 1.00 | 6.00 | 12.00 | 7.00 | 0.50 | 27.00 | -21.00 | 3.00 | 6.17 |
| 17 | 0 | 20.00 | 17.00 | 18.50 | 12.00 | 10.00 | 11.00 | 2.00 | 11.00 | 6.50 | 12.00 |
| 18 | 0 | -2.00 | -7.00 | -4.50 | 51.00 | -20.00 | 15.50 | 14.00 | 15.00 | 14.50 | 8.50 |
| 19 | 0 | 38.00 | 20.00 | 29.00 | 27.00 | 27.00 | 27.00 | 60.00 | 20.00 | 40.00 | 32.00 |
| 20 | 0 | 1.00 | 23.00 | 12.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.50 | 8.00 | 12.00 | 10.50 | 8.00 |
| 21 | 0 | 7.00 | 25.00 | 16.00 | 17.00 | 37.00 | 27.00 | 8.00 | 11.00 | 10.00 | 17.67 |
| 22 | 0 | 42.00 | 62.00 | 52.00 | 87.00 | 28.00 | 57.50 | 6.00 | 55.00 | 30.50 | 46.67 |
| 23 | 0 | 38.00 | 39.00 | 38.50 | 24.00 | 45.00 | 34.50 | 29.00 | 21.00 | 25.00 | 32.67 |
| 24 | 0 | 8.00 | 18.00 | 13.00 | -4.00 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | -4.00 | -0.50 | 4.17 |
| 25 | 0 | -10.00 | 4.00 | -3.00 | 4.00 | -1.00 | 1.50 | 13.00 | -5.00 | 4.00 | 0.83 |
| 26 | 0 | 26.00 | 62.00 | 44.00 | -41.00 | 7.00 | -17.00 | 102.00 | 54.00 | 78.00 | 35.00 |
| 27 | 0 | -3.00 | -8.00 | -5.50 | -48.00 | -54.00 | -51.00 | -62.00 | -36.00 | -49.00 | -35.17 |
| 28 | 0 | 38.00 | 29.00 | 33.50 | -2.00 | 3.00 | 0.50 | -2.00 | -13.00 | -7.50 | 8.83 |
| 29 | 0 | 20.00 | 33.00 | 26.50 | -9.00 | 15.00 | 3.00 | -38.00 | 32.00 | $-3.00$ | 8.83 |
| 30 | 0 | 21.00 | 17.00 | 19.00 | 26.00 | 3.00 | 14.50 | -2.00 | 33.00 | 15.50 | 18.33 |
| 31 | 0 | 16.00 | 32.00 | 24.00 | 24.00 | 17.00 | 20.50 | 60.00 | 26.00 | 43.00 | 29.17 |
| 32 | 0 | 3.00 | -4.00 | -0.50 | -30.00 | -3.00 | -16.50 | -12.00 | -6.00 | $-9.00$ | -8.67 |
| 33 | 0 | 19.00 | 25.00 | 22.00 | 38.00 | -46.00 | -3.50 | -14.00 | 0.00 | . 7.00 | 3.83 |
| 34 | 0 | -15.00 | 24.00 | 4.50 | 3.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | -10.00 | 24.00 | 7.00 | 4.50 |
| 35 | 0 | -5.00 | 23.00 | 8.00 | -44.00 | 4.00 | -20.00 | 13.00 | 8.00 | 10.50 | -0.17 |
| 36 | 0 | 2.00 | -10.00 | -4.00 | 76.00 | $-13.00$ | 31.50 | 10.00 | -12.00 | -1.00 | 8.83 |
| 37 | 0 | 30.00 | 15.00 | 25.50 | 28.00 | 3.00 | 15.50 | 24.00 | 24.00 | 24.00 | 21.67 |
| 38 | 0 | -31.00 | -7.00 | -18.00 | -43.00 | 18.00 | -12.00 | -6.00 | 7.00 | 0.50 | -10.17 |
| 39 | 0 | 7.00 | 12.00 | 9.50 | -11.00 | 26.00 | 7.50 | 18.00 | 15.00 | 17.00 | 11.33 |
| 40 | 0 | 18.00 | -30.00 | -5.50 | 5.00 | 1.00 | 3.00 | -2.00 | 7.00 | 2.50 | 0.00 |
| 41 | 0 | -12.00 | -8.00 | -10.00 | -47.00 | -4.00 | -25.50 | 0.00 | 17.00 | 8.50 | -9.00 |
| 42 | 0 | -1.00 | 10.00 | 4.50 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.50 | -26.00 | 8.00 | -9.00 | -1.00 |
| 43 | 0 | 7.00 | 32.00 | 18.50 | -2.00 | -4.00 | -3.50 | 26.00 | 11.00 | 18.00 | 12.00 |
| 44 | 0 | 200 | 5.00 | 3.00 | -14.00 | -8.00 | -11.50 | 32.00 | 0.00 | 15.50 | 3.00 |
| 45 | 0 | 20.00 | 34.00 | 27.00 | 3.00 | -1.00 | 1.00 | -58.00 | 14.00 | -21.00 | 2.33 |
| 48 | 0 | -3.00 | -3.00 | -3.50 | 28.00 | -20.00 | 3.00 | 22.00 | 35.00 | 28.00 | 9.83 |
| 47 | 0 | 2.00 | -37.00 | -18.00 | 10.00 | 6.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 13.00 | 8.50 | -1.17 |
| 48 | 0 | 24.00 | 5.00 | 14.50 | 41.00 | -18.00 | 11.00 | 29.00 | -8.00 | 10.00 | 11.83 |
| 49 | 0 | 1.00 | 10.00 | 5.50 | 15.00 | 27.00 | 20.50 | -16.00 | -11.00 | -14.00 | 4.00 |
| 50 | 0 | -14.00 | -18.00 | -16.00 | -17.00 | 21.00 | 2.00 | 315.00 | 3.00 | B. 50 | -2.17 |


| 51 | 0 | 20.00 | 13.00 | 16.00 | 40.00 | 13.00 | 26.00 | 33.00 | 80.00 | 47.50 | 30.17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | 0 | 45.00 | 37.00 | 40.50 | 7.00 | 40.00 | 23.00 | -45.00 | 5.00 | -20.00 | 15.17 |
| 53 | 0 | 1.00 | 10.00 | 5.50 | -1.00 | 17.00 | 7.50 | 35.00 | 34.00 | 34.50 | 15.50 |
| 54 | 0 | 25.00 | 18.00 | 21.50 | 10.00 | 18.00 | 14.00 | 17.00 | -15.00 | 0.50 | 12.00 |
| 55 | 0 | 20.00 | 4.00 | 11.50 | -62.00 | 37.00 | -13.00 | -18.00 | 14.00 | -3.00 | -0.83 |
| 56 | 0 | 13.00 | 32.00 | 22.50 | 1.00 | -1.00 | 0.00 | 51.00 | 28.00 | 39.00 | 20.50 |
| 57 | 0 | 32.00 | 38.00 | 34.50 | -20.00 | 38.00 | 8.50 | 36.00 | 61.00 | 48.00 | 31.00 |
| 58 | 0 | -10.00 | 47.00 | 18.50 | 8.00 | 24.00 | 16.00 | -13.00 | 29.00 | 7.50 | 14.00 |
| 59 | 0 | 31.00 | 32.00 | 31.00 | -1.00 | -5.00 | -3.50 | -8.00 | -200 | -5.50 | 7.67 |
| 60 | 0 | 10.00 | 5.00 | 7.50 | 6.00 | 16.00 | 11.00 | -8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.83 |
| 61 | 0 | 21.00 | 34.00 | 27.00 | 15.00 | -2.00 | 6.00 | 59.00 | 41.00 | 49.50 | 27.50 |
| 62 | 0 | 32.00 | .7.00 | 12.50 | -3200 | -11.00 | -21.50 | 3.00 | 14.00 | 8.50 | 0.17 |
| 63 | 0 | 146.00 | 152.00 | 20.00 | 114.00 | 135.00 | -35.50 | 181.00 | 168.00 | 41.50 | 8.00 |
| 64 | 0 | 27.00 | 18.00 | 22.00 | -3.00 | 8.00 | 2.50 | -18.00 | 7.00 | -5.50 | 6.33 |
| 65 | 0 | \$1.00 | -1.00 | 18.50 | 24.00 | 2.00 | 13.00 | 8.00 | 24.00 | 18.50 | 16.00 |
| 66 | 0 | -17.00 | 18.00 | 0.50 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 14.50 | -8.00 | 12.00 | 1.50 | 5.17 |
| 67 | 0 | -2.00 | 31.00 | 14.50 | -7.00 | 26.00 | 8.50 | -2.00 | 10.00 | 4.00 | 8.33 |
| 68 | 0 | 65.00 | 54.00 | 58.50 | 75.00 | 64.00 | 69.50 | 82.00 | 80.00 | 86.00 | 71.67 |
| 69 | 0 | -14.00 | -19.00 | -16.50 | -12.00 | 18.00 | 2.00 | -27.00 | . 18.00 | -22.50 | -30.00 |
| 70 | 0 | 18.00 | 36.00 | 27.00 | 22.00 | 40.00 | 31.00 | 47.00 | 25.00 | 36.00 | 31.33 |
| 71 | 0 | 7.00 | 10.00 | 8.50 | -33.00 | 27.00 | -3.00 | 1.00 | 25.00 | 13.00 | 6.17 |
| 72 | 0 | -1.00 | 49.00 | 24.00 | 12.00 | 16.00 | 14.00 | -10.00 | -4.00 | .7.00 | 10.33 |
| 73 | 0 | -3.00 | -34.00 | -18.50 | 16.00 | 15.00 | 15.50 | -14.00 | -8.00 | -11.50 | -4.83 |
| 74 | 0 | 11.00 | 25.00 | 18.00 | 30.00 | 3.00 | 16.50 | 15.00 | 1.00 | 8.00 | 14.17 |
| 75 | 0 | 18.00 | 21.00 | 20.00 | -10.00 | 29.00 | 8.50 | 74.00 | 24.00 | 49.00 | 26.17 |
| 76 | 0 | 13.00 | 26.00 | 18.50 | 7.00 | 1.00 | 4.00 | 8.00 | -7.00 | 1.00 | 8.17 |
| 77 | 0 | -22.00 | 26.00 | 2.00 | -14.00 | -7.00 | -10.50 | -25.00 | 8.00 | -8.50 | -5.67 |
| 78 | 0 | 24.00 | 28.00 | 28.00 | -13.00 | 34.00 | 10.50 | -12.00 | 25.00 | 6.50 | 14.33 |
| 79 | 0 | 44.00 | 50.00 | 47.00 | 22.00 | 11.00 | 16.50 | 18.00 | 47.00 | 32.50 | 32.00 |
| 80 | 0 | 5.00 | 21.00 | 13.00 | 7.00 | 0.00 | 3.50 | -21.00 | -10.00 | -15.50 | 0.33 |
| 81 | 0 | 22.00 | -13.00 | 4.50 | -20.00 | 18.00 | -0.50 | -25.00 | -17.00 | -21.00 | -5.67 |
| 82 | 0 | 27.00 | 62.00 | 44.50 | 40.00 | -38.00 | 1.00 | 28.00 | 21.00 | 25.00 | 23.50 |
| 83 | 0 | 0.00 | 14.00 | 7.00 | -9.00 | 57.00 | 24.00 | -17.00 | 25.00 | 4.00 | 11.67 |
| 84 | 0 | 28.00 | 2.00 | 15.00 | 37.00 | 8.00 | 22.50 | 36.00 | 12.00 | 24.00 | 20.50 |
| 85 | 0 | 26.00 | 12.00 | 19.00 | 34.00 | -32.00 | 1.00 | -51.00 | 23.00 | -14.00 | 2.00 |
| 86 | 0 | 43.00 | .67.00 | . 12.00 | -7.00 | 29.00 | 11.00 | 8.00 | -4.00 | 2.00 | 0.33 |
| 87 | 0 | 48.00 | 35.00 | 41.50 | 69.00 | -47.00 | 11.00 | 11.00 | -32.00 | -10.50 | 14.00 |
| 88 | 0 | 7.00 | -7.00 | 0.00 | 6.00 | 32.00 | 19.00 | 23.00 | -18.00 | 2.50 | 7.17 |
| 89 | 0 | 25.00 | 3.00 | 14.00 | 32.00 | 2.00 | 17.00 | 29.00 | . 1.00 | 14.00 | 15.00 |
| 90 | 0 | .7.00 | 58.00 | 25.50 | 16.00 | 12.00 | 14.00 | -17.00 | 32.00 | 7.50 | 15.67 |
| 91 | 0 | 27.00 | -8.00 | 8.00 | 16.00 | -6.00 | 5.00 | -12.00 | 19.00 | 3.50 | 5.83 |
| 82 | 0 | 19.00 | -9.00 | 5.00 | 30.00 | 14.00 | 22.00 | 18.00 | 14.00 | 18.00 | 14.33 |
| 83 | 0 | 20.00 | 36.00 | 28.00 | . 13.00 | 9.00 | -2.00 | 14.00 | 17.00 | 15.50 | 13.83 |
| 94 | 0 | 1.00 | -1.00 | 0.00 | 24.00 | 17.00 | 20.50 | 26.00 | 26.00 | 26.00 | 15.50 |
| B5 | 0 | 1.00 | -24.00 | -11.50 | 0.00 | -17.00 | -8.50 | -14.00 | $-2.00$ | -8.00 | -9.33 |
| 96 | 0 | 30.00 | 24.00 | 27.00 | 54.00 | 3.00 | 28.50 | 16.00 | 22.00 | 18.00 | 24.83 |
| 97 | 0 | 31.00 | -10.00 | 10.50 | 3.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | -13.00 | 10.00 | -1.50 | 3.67 |
| 98 | 0 | 24.00 | 10.00 | 17.00 | 21.00 | 26.00 | 23.50 | 33.00 | 7.00 | 20.00 | 20.17 |
| 98 | 0 | 19.00 | 59.00 | 39.00 | 8.00 | 48.00 | 28.00 | 40.00 | -4.00 | 18.00 | 28.33 |
| 100 | 0 | 3.00 | -1.00 | 1.00 | -27.00 | 38.00 | 4.50 | 18.00 | 18.00 | 18.50 | B.00 |
| 101 | 0 | 12.00 | -7.00 | 2.50 | -1.00 | -3.00 | -2.00 | -1.00 | 4.00 | 1.50 | 0.87 |
| 102 | 0 | 7.00 | -21.00 | -7.00 | 23.00 | 2.00 | 12.50 | 35.00 | -22.00 | 6.50 | 4.00 |
| 103 | 0 | - 12.00 | 28.00 | 8.50 | 10.00 | -4.00 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 18.00 | 10.00 | 7.17 |
| 104 | 0 | 84.00 | 31.00 | 57.50 | 18.00 | 18.00 | 18.50 | 7.00 | -17.00 | -5.00 | 23.67 |
| 105 | 0 | 10.00 | -34.00 | -12.00 | -10.00 | 1.00 | -4.50 | -13.00 | -18.00 | -16.00 | -10.83 |
| 108 | 0 | 22.00 | 1.00 | 11.50 | 48.00 | 73.00 | 61.00 | 51.00 | 27.00 | 38.00 | 37.17 |
| 107 | 0 | 23.00 | 14.00 | 18.50 | -35.00 | 1.00 | -17.00 | -3.00 | 0.00 | -1.50 | 0.00 |
| 108 | 0 | 8.00 | 20.00 | 14.00 | -16.00 | 26.00 | 5.00 | 14.00 | 31.00 | 22.50 | 13.83 |
| 109 | 0 | -14.00 | 1.00 | -6.50 | 54.00 | 20.00 | 37.00 | 66.00 | 6200 | 64.00 | 31.50 |
| 110 | 0 | 4.00 | -146.00 | .71.00 | -7.00 | 31.00 | 12.00 | 2.00 | -16.00 | -7.00 | -22.00 |
| 111 | 0 | 10.00 | 12.00 | 11.00 | 4.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 27.00 | 6.00 | 16.50 | 10.17 |
| 112 | 0 | -2.00 | 42.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | -47.00 | -23.50 | -23.00 | -6.00 | -14.50 | -0.00 |
| 113 | 0 | 6.00 | 17.00 | 11.50 | -1.00 | -14.00 | -7.50 | 23.00 | 45.00 | 34.00 | 12.67 |
| 114 | 0 | 42.00 | 16.00 | 29.00 | 8.00 | -17.00 | -5.50 | -13.00 | 7.00 | -3.00 | 6.83 |
| 115 | 0 | 13.00 | 3.00 | 8.00 | -9.00 | -29.00 | -18.00 | 8.00 | -12.00 | -1.50 | -4.17 |


| 116 | 0 | -20.00 | -21.00 | -20.50 | 0.00 | -1.00 | -0.50 | -32.00 | 15.00 | -8.50 | -9.83 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 117 | 0 | 2.00 | 13.00 | 7.50 | 22.00 | -35.00 | -6.50 | 8.00 | 46.00 | 27.50 | 8.50 |
| 118 | 0 | 1.00 | 18.00 | 10.00 | 1.00 | 52.00 | 28.50 | -27.00 | 32.00 | 2.50 | 13.00 |
| 118 | 0 | 1.00 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 20.00 | 8.00 | 14.50 | 4.00 | 7.00 | 5.50 | 7.33 |
| 120 | 0 | 17.00 | 29.00 | 23.00 | 31.00 | 35.00 | 33.00 | 20.00 | 17.00 | 18.50 | 24.83 |
| 121 | 0 | 30.00 | 16.00 | 23.00 | -19.00 | 14.00 | -2.50 | -11.00 | -18.00 | -14.50 | 2.00 |
| 122 | 0 | 1200 | 38.00 | 24.00 | -4.00 | 14.00 | 5.00 | -8.00 | 15.00 | 3.50 | 10.83 |
| 123 | 0 | -87.00 | -104.00 | -85.50 | .80.00 | -118.00 | -103.50 | -73.00 | -65.00 | -69.00 | -88.39 |
| 124 | 0 | 31.00 | 4.00 | 17.50 | 1.00 | 21.00 | 11.00 | -32.00 | -3.00 | -17.50 | 3.67 |
| 125 | 0 | -2.00 | 25.00 | 11.50 | .7.00 | -18.00 | -12.50 | 37.00 | 15.00 | 26.00 | 8.33 |
| 126 | 0 | 25.00 | -5.00 | 10.00 | 26.00 | -9.00 | 8.50 | -8.00 | -8.00 | -8.00 | 3.50 |
| 127 | 0 | 27.00 | 26.00 | 26.50 | -7.00 | -13.00 | -10.00 | 16.00 | 11.00 | 13.50 | 10.00 |
| 128 | 0 | -16.00 | 12.00 | -2.00 | -24.00 | -38.00 | -31.00 | -8.00 | 13.00 | 2.50 | -10.17 |
| 129 | 0 | 1.00 | 6.00 | 3.50 | 23.00 | 27.00 | 25.00 | 42.00 | 35.00 | 38.50 | 22.33 |
| 130 | 0 | 24.00 | 17.00 | 20.50 | 8.00 | -6.00 | 1.50 | 8.00 | 1.00 | 4.50 | 8.83 |
| 131 | 1 | -20.00 | -48.00 | -34.00 | 47.00 | -3.00 | 22.00 | -11.00 | 11.00 | 0.00 | -4.00 |
| 132 | 1 | 30.00 | 38.00 | 18.00 | -8.00 | 1.00 | 16.50 | 32.00 | 25.00 | 28.50 | 21.33 |
| 133 | 1 | 29.00 | 20.00 | 24.50 | 13.00 | 30.00 | 21.50 | 10.00 | -18.00 | -3.00 | 14.39 |
| 134 | 1 | -68.00 | -18.00 | -44.00 | -17.00 | 0.00 | 26.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 5.00 | -4.33 |
| 135 | 1 | 27.00 | 122.00 | 74.50 | 159.00 | 30.00 | 91.50 | 24.00 | .7.00 | 8.50 | 58.17 |
| 138 | 1 | -6.00 | -3.00 | -4.50 | 40.00 | -27.00 | 6.50 | 21.00 | 8.00 | 15.00 | 5.67 |
| 137 | 1 | 15.00 | 63.00 | 39.00 | 7.00 | 97.00 | 52.00 | 32.00 | 6.00 | 18.00 | 36.67 |
| 138 | 1 | 7.00 | 1.00 | 4.00 | .7.00 | 4.00 | -1.50 | -24.00 | 17.00 | -3.50 | -0.33 |
| 139 | 1 | 6.00 | -33.00 | -13.50 | -26.00 | -12.00 | -19.00 | -8.00 | 4.00 | -2.00 | -11.50 |
| 140 | 1 | 36.00 | 24.00 | 30.00 | 100.00 | 73.00 | 86.50 | -7.00 | 17.00 | 5.00 | 40.50 |
| 141 | 1 | -10.00 | 18.00 | 4.00 | -3.00 | 22.00 | 8.50 | -12.00 | -5.00 | -8.50 | 1.67 |
| 142 | 1 | 22.00 | 10.00 | 18.00 | -38.00 | -35.00 | -35.50 | -39.00 | -53.00 | -46.00 | -21.83 |
| 143 | 1 | 10.00 | -11.00 | -0.50 | 1.00 | 10.00 | 5.50 | -7.00 | 43.00 | 18.00 | 7.67 |
| 144 | 1 | 1.00 | 8.00 | 4.50 | 23.00 | 38.00 | 28.50 | -13.00 | 18.00 | 2.50 | 12.17 |
| 145 | 1 | 5.00 | 15.00 | 10.00 | 18.00 | 23.00 | 20.50 | 4.00 | $-3.00$ | 0.50 | 10.33 |
| 146 | 2 | -23.00 | -4.00 | -13.50 | 12.00 | 0.00 | 6.00 | 25.00 | 33.00 | 29.00 | 7.17 |
| 147 | 2 | 20.00 | 24.00 | 22.00 | -4.00 | 7.00 | 1.50 | 30.00 | 13.00 | 21.50 | 15.00 |
| 148 | 2 | -15.00 | 0.00 | 15.50 | -18.00 | 0.00 | -2.50 | 17.00 | 0.00 | 8.50 | 7.17 |
| 149 | 2 | 6.00 | 58.00 | 32.00 | 20.00 | 47.00 | 33.50 | -61.00 | 22.00 | -18.50 | 15.33 |
| 150 | 2 | -10.00 | 6.00 | -2.00 | -23.00 | 28.00 | 2.50 | 40.00 | 12.00 | 26.00 | 8.83 |
| 151 | 2 | 21.00 | 15.00 | 17.50 | 124.00 | 13.00 | 68.50 | -10.00 | 62.00 | 26.00 | 37.33 |
| 152 | 2 | 17.00 | 34.00 | 25.50 | 27.00 | -11.00 | 8.00 | -6.00 | 2.00 | -2.50 | 10.33 |
| 153 | 2 | 21.00 | 37.00 | 29.00 | 118.00 | 111.00 | 114.50 | -7.00 | -19.00 | -13.00 | 43.50 |
| 154 | 2 | -10.00 | 12.00 | 1.00 | 7.00 | 32.00 | 19.50 | 80.00 | 12.00 | 46.00 | 22.17 |
| 155 | 2 | 13.00 | 14.00 | 13.50 | 8.00 | -9.00 | -0.50 | 5.00 | 36.00 | 20.50 | 11.17 |
| 156 | 2 | 3.00 | 13.00 | 8.00 | 14.00 | 12.00 | 13.00 | 2.00 | 11.00 | 6.50 | 9.17 |
| 157 | 2 | -20.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 17.00 | 7.00 | 12.00 | 34.00 | 1.00 | 17.50 | 9.83 |
| 158 | 2 | 44.00 | 16.00 | 30.00 | 61.00 | 15.00 | 38.00 | -10.00 | 2.00 | -4.00 | 21.33 |
| 159 | 2 | 39.00 | . 21.00 | 9.00 | 2.00 | -8.00 | -2.00 | 3.00 | . 9.00 | $-3.00$ | 1.33 |
| 160 | 2 | 18.00 | 26.00 | 22.00 | 22.00 | 28.00 | 25.00 | -12.00 | 21.00 | 4.50 | 17.17 |
| 161 | 2 | 25.00 | 12.00 | 18.50 | 12.00 | 26.00 | 19.00 | 9.00 | 8.00 | 8.50 | 15.33 |
| 162 | 3 | 28.00 | 50.00 | 39.00 | -18.00 | -1.00 | -0.50 | -13.00 | -24.00 | -18.50 | 3.67 |
| 163 | 3 | 13.00 | -1.00 | 6.00 | . 91.00 | -4.00 | -47.50 | -21.00 | . 12.00 | -16.50 | . 18.33 |
| 104 | 3 | 28.00 | -27.00 | 0.50 | 43.00 | 5.00 | 23.50 | 78.00 | 16.00 | 47.00 | 24.00 |
| 165 | 3 | 18.00 | 16.00 | 17.00 | 116.00 | 38.00 | 77.00 | 54.00 | 54.00 | 54.00 | 49.33 |
| 166 | 3 | -3.00 | 19.00 | 8.00 | -4.00 | 3.00 | -0.50 | -8.00 | 21.00 | 6.50 | 4.67 |
| 187 | 3 | 37.00 | -11.00 | 13.00 | 0.00 | 27.00 | 18.00 | -5.00 | 7.00 | 1.00 | 10.67 |
| 188 | 3 | -69.00 | -18.00 | -44.00 | 85.00 | 17.00 | 58.00 | 52.00 | 54.00 | 53.00 | 21.67 |
| 169 | 3 | -66.00 | -11.00 | -38.50 | 68.00 | -1.00 | 34.00 | 28.00 | 40.00 | 34.00 | 8.83 |
| 170 | 3 | 0.00 | 28.00 | 14.00 | 7.00 | 24.00 | 15.50 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 2.50 | 10.67 |

## Appendix E
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## Mean California Achievement Test Cohort Scores

Between Grade Nine and Grade Ten

## California Achievement Test Mean Scores Grade 9 - Total Language
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California Achievement Test Mean Scores Grade 10 - Total Reading



Califomia Achievement Test Mean Scores Grade 10 -Reading Comprehension


Appendix F

## $t$ - Table

## $t$ - Table

Probability ( P ) for values of $t$ at various degrees of freedom (d.f.)


## Appendix G

## $t$ - Test Analyses

## Comparison of Mean

## California Achievement Test Scores

Grade Nine

## Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 9

## Total Battery



# Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 9 

## Total Battery

|  | Non-Music | vs Instrumenta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-Music | Instrumental |
| Mean | 786.66 | 804.90 |
| Variance | 1217.28 | 2394.61 |
| Stan Dev | 34.89 | 48.93 |
| Standard Err of the Mean | 3.06 | 12.63 |
| Standard Err of the Differen |  |  |
| t |  |  |
| degrees of fre | edom |  |
| $p$ |  |  |

## Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 9

## Total Battery



# Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 9 

## Total Battery

Non-Music Students vs Instrumental \% Vocal


# Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 9 

## Total Battery

## Vocal Music vs. Instrumental

|  | Vocal |  | Instrumental |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 792.74 |  | 804.90 |
| Variance | 941.12 |  | 2394.61 |
| Standard Dev | 30.68 |  | 48.93 |
| Standard Error <br> of the Mean | 7.67 |  | 12.63 |
| Standard Error <br> of the Difference |  | 14.78 |  |
| $\quad \mathrm{t}$ |  | 0.82 |  |
| degrees of freedom | $>100$ | $<0.4$ |  |

# Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 9 

## Total Mathematics

|  | Non-Music vs. All Music Students |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-Music |  | All Music |
| Mean | 798.88 |  | 809.76 |
| Variance | 4956.88 |  | 4706.29 |
| Standard Dev | 70.41 |  | 68.60 |
| Standard Error of the Mean | 6.17 |  | 10.85 |
| Standard Error of the Difference |  | 12.48 |  |
| t |  | 0.87 |  |
| degrees of freedom |  | $>100$ |  |
| $p$ |  | $>0.50$ |  |

## Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 9

## Total Mathematics

|  | Non-Music vs. Instrumental Music Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-Music | Instrumental |
| Mean | 798.88 | 806.80 |
| Variance | 4956.88 | 10249.40 |
| Standard Dev | 70.41 | 101.24 |
| Standard Error of the Mean | 6.17 | 26.14 |
| Standard Error of the Difference |  |  |
| t |  |  |
| degrees of freedom |  |  |
| $p$ |  |  |

# Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 9 

## Total Mathematics

|  | Non-Music vs. Vocal Music Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-Music | Vocal |
| Mean | 798.88 | 80275 |
| Variance | 4956.88 | 2875.81 |
| Standard Dev | 70.41 | 53.63 |
| Standard Error of the Mean | 6.17 | 13.41 |
| Standard Error of the Difference |  |  |
| t |  |  |
| degrees of freedom |  |  |
| $p$ |  |  |

# Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 9 

## Total Mathematics

Non-Music vs. Inst. \& Vocal Students

|  | Non-Music | Inst \& Vocal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 798.88 | 827.17 |
| Variance | 4956.88 | 1244.03 |
| Standard Dev | 70.41 | 35.27 |
| Standard Error of the Mean | 6.17 | 11.76 |
| Standard Error of the Difference |  |  |
| t |  |  |
| degrees of freedom |  |  |
| $p$ |  |  |

# Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 9 

## Total Mathematics

Instrumental Music vs. Vocal Music

|  | Inst. Music | Vocal Music |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 806.80 | 802.75 |
| Variance | 10239.20 | 1404.66 |
| Stan Dev | 101.19 | 37.48 |
| Standard Error of the Mean | 26.13 | 9.37 |
| Standard Error of the Difference |  |  |
| t |  |  |
| degrees of freedom |  |  |
| $p$ |  |  |

## Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 9

## Mathematics Concepts and Applications



## Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 9

## Mathematics Concepts and Applications

|  | Non-Music vs. Instrumental Music Stud |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-Music | Instrumental |
| Mean | 793.58 | 775.67 |
| Variance | 4929.44 | 35539.40 |
| Standard Dev | 70.21 | 188.52 |
| Standard Error of the Mean | 6.16 | 48.68 |
| Standard Error of the Difference |  |  |
| t |  |  |
| degrees of freedom |  |  |
| $p$ |  |  |

## Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 9

## Mathematics Computation

|  | Non-Music vs. All Music Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-Music | All Music |
| Mean | 799.63 | 826.78 |
| Varlance | 6309.91 | 1496.93 |
| Standard Dev | 79.43 | 38.69 |
| Standard Error of the Mean | 6.97 | 6.12 |
| Standard Error of the Difference |  |  |
| t |  |  |
| degrees of freedom |  |  |
| $p$ |  |  |

## Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 9

## Mathematics Computation

Non-Music vs. Instrumental Music Students

|  | Non-Music |  | Instrumental |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 799.63 |  | 837.93 |
| Variance | 6309.91 |  | 735.93 |
| Standard Dev | 79.43 |  | 27.13 |
| Standard Error of the Mean | 6.97 |  | 7.00 |
| Standard Error of the Difference |  | 9.88 |  |
| t |  | 3.88 |  |
| degrees of freedom |  | $>100$ |  |
| p |  | $<0.01$ |  |

## Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 9

## Total Reading

|  | Non-Music vs. All Music Students |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-Music |  |
| Mean |  | All Music |

# Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 9 

## Total Reading

Non-Music vs. Instumental Music Students


# Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 9 

## Mathematics Computation

Non-Music vs. Vocal Music Students

|  | Non-Music vs. Vocal Music Students |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-Music |  |
|  |  |  |

# Appendix H 

## $t$ - Test Anatyses

## Comparison of Mean

## California Achievement Test Scores

## Grade Ten

# Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 10 

## Total Battery

|  | All Music Stur | -Music Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-Music | All Music |
| Mean | 797.83 | 821.12 |
| Variance | 768.36 | 1533.21 |
| Standard Dev | 27.72 | 39.16 |
| Standard Error of the Mean | 243 | 6.19 |
| Standard Error of the Difference |  |  |
| t |  |  |
| degrees of freedom |  |  |
| p |  |  |

# Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 10 

## Total Battery

|  | Non-Music vs. Instrumental |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-Music | Instrumental |
| Mean | 797.83 | 823.89 |
| Variance | 768.36 | 1545.76 |
| Standard Dev | 27.72 | 39.32 |
| Standard Error of the Mean | 2.43 | 10.15 |
| Standard Error of the Difference |  |  |
| t |  |  |
| degrees of freedom |  |  |
| $p$ |  |  |

# Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 10 

## Total Battery

Non-Music vs. Vocal Music Students

|  | Non-Music | Vocal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 797.83 | 808.50 |
| Variance | 768.36 | 1328.72 |
| Stand Dev | 27.72 | 36.45 |
| Standard Error of the Mean | 2.43 | 9.11 |
| Standard Error of the Difference |  |  |
| t |  |  |
| degrees of freedom |  |  |
| $p$ |  |  |

# Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 10 

## Total Battery

|  | Non-Music Students vs. Inst. \& Vocal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-Music | Inst. \& Vocal |
| Mean | 797.83 | 838.94 |
| Variance | 768.36 | 1262.63 |
| Standard Dev | 27.72 | 35.53 |
| Standard Error of the Mean | 2.43 | 11.84 |
| Standard Error of the Difference |  |  |
| t |  |  |
| degrees of freedom |  |  |
| $p$ |  |  |

# Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 10 

## Total Battery

|  | Vocal Music vs. Instrumental |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vocal |  | Instrumental |
| Mean | 808.50 |  | 823.89 |
| Variance | 1328.72 |  | 1545.76 |
| Standard Dev | 36.45 |  | 39.32 |
| Standard Error of the Mean | 9.11 |  | 10.15 |
| Standard Error of the Difference |  | 13.64 |  |
| t |  | 1.13 |  |
| degrees of freedom |  | $>100$ |  |
| $p$ |  | $<0.3$ |  |

# Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 10 

## Total Mathematics

Non-Music vs. All Music

|  | Non-Music |  | All Music |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 813.49 |  | 828.00 |
| Varlance | 1007.14 |  | 1796.43 |
| Standard Dev | 31.74 |  | 42.38 |
| Standard Error of the Mean | 2.78 |  | 6.70 |
| Standard Error of the Difference |  | 7.26 |  |
| t |  | 2.00 |  |
| degrees of freedom |  | $>100$ |  |
| $p$ |  | $<0.05$ |  |

# Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 10 

## Total Mathematics

|  | Non-Music vs. All Music |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-Music |  | Instrumental |
| Mean | 813.49 |  | 833.07 |
| Variance | 1007.14 |  | 1186.27 |
| Standard Dev | 31.74 |  | 34.44 |
| Standard Error of the Mean | 2.78 |  | 8.89 |
| Standard Error of the Difference |  | 9.32 |  |
| t |  | 2.10 |  |
| degrees of freedom |  | $>100$ |  |
| p |  | $<0.05$ |  |

# Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 10 

## Total Mathematics

|  | Non-Music vs. Vocal Music Students |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-Music |  | Vocal |
| Mean | 813.49 |  | 813.53 |
| Variance | 1007.14 |  | 1711.23 |
| Standard Dev | 31.74 |  | 41.37 |
| Standard Error of the Mean | 2.78 |  | 10.34 |
| Standard Error of the Difference |  | 10.71 |  |
| t |  | 0.00 |  |
| degrees of freedom |  | $>100$ |  |
| $p$ |  | $>0.5$ |  |

# Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 10 

## Total Mathematics

|  | Non-Music vs. Vocal \& Inst. Students |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-Music |  | Inst. \& Vocal |
| Mean | 813.49 |  | 845.28 |
| Varlance | 1007.14 |  | 2964.81 |
| Standard Dev | 31.74 |  | 54.45 |
| Standard Error of the Mean | 2.78 |  | 18.15 |
| Standard Error of the Difference |  | 18.36 |  |
| t |  | 1.73 |  |
| degrees of freedom |  | $>100$ |  |
| $p$ |  | $<0.1$ |  |

# Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 10 

## Total Mathematics

|  | Instrumenta | Vocal Music |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inst. Music | Vocal Music |
| Mean | 833.07 | 813.53 |
| Variance | 1160.60 | 1358.72 |
| Stan Dev | 34.07 | 36.86 |
| Standard Error of the Mean | 8.80 | 9.22 |
| Standard Error of the Difference |  |  |
| t |  |  |
| degrees of freedom |  |  |
| p |  |  |

## Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 10

## Mathematics Concepts and Applications

|  | Non-Music vs. All Music Students |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-Music |  | All Music |
| Mean | 811.44 |  | 821.28 |
| Variance | 1013.30 |  | 1778.68 |
| Standard Dev | 31.83 |  | 42.17 |
| Standard Error of the Mean | 279 |  | 6.67 |
| Standard Error of the Difference |  | 7.23 |  |
| t |  | 1.36 |  |
| degrees of freedom |  | $>100$ |  |
| $p$ |  | $<0.2$ |  |

## Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 10

## Mathematics Concepts and Applications

|  | Non-Music vs. Instrumental Music S |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-Music | Instrumental |
| Mean | 811.44 | 827.47 |
| Variance | 1013.30 | 1298.47 |
| Standard Dev | 31.83 | 36.03 |
| Standard Error of the Mean | 2.79 | 9.30 |
| Standard Error of the Difference |  |  |
| t |  |  |
| degrees of freedom |  |  |
| $p$ |  |  |

# Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 10 

## Mathematics Computation

Non-Music vs. Vocal Music Students

|  | Non-Music |  | Vocal Music |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 815.54 |  | 817.13 |
| Variance | 1013.30 |  | 1825.56 |
| Standard Dev | 31.83 |  | 42.73 |
| Standard Error of the Mean | 2.79 |  | 10.68 |
| Standard Error of the Difference |  | 11.04 |  |
| t |  | 0.14 |  |
| degrees of freedom |  | $>100$ |  |
| $p$ |  | $>0.5$ |  |

# Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 10 

## Mathematics Computation

Non-Music vs. All Music Students

|  | Non-Music |  | All Music |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 815.54 |  | 838.07 |
| Variance | 1013.30 |  | 1298.47 |
| Standard Dev | 31.83 |  | 36.03 |
| Standard Error of the Mean | 279 |  | 9.30 |
| Standard Error of the Difference |  | 9.71 |  |
| t |  | 2.32 |  |
| degrees of freedom |  | $>100$ |  |
| p |  | $<0.05$ |  |

# Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 10 

## Mathematics Computation

Non-Music vs. Instrumental Music Students


# Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 10 

## Total Reading

Non-Music vs. All Music Students

|  | Non-Music | All Music |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 793.62 | 810.85 |
| Variance | 925.86 | 1084.34 |
| Standard Dev | 30.43 | 32.93 |
| Standard Error of the Mean | 267 | 5.21 |
| Standard Error of the Difference |  |  |
| t |  |  |
| degrees of freedom |  |  |
| $p$ |  |  |

# Comparison of Mean Scores - Grade 10 

## Total Reading

|  | Non-Music vs | al Music Stud |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-Music | Instrumental |
| Mean | 793.62 | 81240 |
| Variance | 925.86 | 1588.47 |
| Standard Dev | 30.43 | 39.86 |
| Standard Error of the Mean | 267 | 10.29 |
| Standard Error of the Difference |  |  |
| t |  |  |
| degrees of freedom |  |  |
| $p$ |  |  |

## Appendix I

## California Achievement Test Scores

Individual Percent Change from
Grade Nine to Grade Ten

|  | Reed Voceb. | Read Comp. | Read Total | Lang <br> Meoh | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leng } \\ & \text { Expr } \end{aligned}$ | Leng Total | Math Compu. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Meth } \\ & \text { C\&A } \end{aligned}$ | Math <br> Totel | Total Bettery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Meen Scores Chernges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Al Studerts | 1.56 | 1.73 | 1.51 | 1.50 | 1.28 | 1.32 | 1.43 | 1.52 | 1.14 | 1.30 |
| Nondmusic | 1.79 | 1.75 | 1.60 | 1.08 | 1.05 | 0.91 | 1.57 | 1.58 | 1.14 | 1.20 |
| Instinmertal | 0.78 | 1.74 | 1.12 | 2.63 | 2.05 | 2.69 | 0.00 | 0.54 | 0.30 | 1.34 |
| Vocal | 1.23 | 211 | 1.83 | 3.09 | 2.37 | 277 | 1.15 | 1.64 | 1.36 | 1.87 |
| Inst + Vocel | 0.02 | 0.71 | 0.31 | 3.08 | 1.46 | 224 | 214 | 2.10 | 212 | 1.54 |
| All Music | 0.79 | 1.65 | 1.22 | 2.87 | 204 | 2.62 | 0.94 | 1.33 | 1.13 | 1.63 |


| * | Type | Individual Student Scores Changes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | -0.38 | 3.58 | 1.59 | 8.91 | 0.25 | 4.10 | 1.27 | -0.81 | 0.23 | 1.83 |
| 2 | 0 | -2.26 | 2.62 | 0.13 | 3.21 | 1.62 | 2.40 | -1.07 | 1.46 | 0.18 | 0.89 |
| 3 | 0 | 3.90 | 6.84 | 5.33 | 1.13 | 4.52 | 2.87 | -0.13 | 5.42 | 2.82 | 3.61 |
| 4 | 0 | 1.35 | -0.48 | 0.43 | 0.74 | -0.37 | 0.18 | 1.56 | 2.02 | 1.78 | 0.81 |
| 6 | 0 | 0.25 | 075 | 0.50 | 3.39 | -3.76 | -0.31 | 1.32 | 3.98 | 2.64 | 0.87 |
| 8 | 0 | 1.48 | 2.10 | 1.78 | 3.52 | 2.67 | 3.10 | 1.71 | 0.92 | 1.31 | 2.07 |
| 7 | 0 | 0.80 | 0.78 | 0.84 | -0.51 | 3.03 | -1.77 | 3.86 | -1.53 | 2.73 | -1.24 |
| 8 | 0 | 0.74 | 4.50 | 2.68 | 1.71 | -3.75 | 1.41 | 4.66 | 0.00 | 2.27 | 2.09 |
| 8 | 0 | -0.65 | -0.28 | -0.45 | 3.26 | 1.17 | 4.79 | 8.06 | 0.39 | 3.19 | 2.48 |
| 10 | 0 | 2.88 | 2.41 | 2.69 | -4.34 | 3.09 | -0.82 | 0.00 | 1.72 | 0.85 | 0.97 |
| 11 | 0 | 6.04 | 201 | 3.88 | 3.41 | 4.91 | 4.16 | -0.13 | 3.68 | 1.75 | 3.29 |
| 12 | 0 | 1.53 | 2.88 | 2.20 | 2.54 | 4.36 | 3.48 | 0.71 | -0.98 | -0.12 | 1.83 |
| 13 | 0 | 3.84 | 4.80 | 4.37 | -0.38 | 3.25 | 1.42 | -2.36 | 288 | 0.25 | 1.89 |
| 14 | 0 | 1.01 | 0.64 | 0.82 | -4.62 | 3.05 | -3.83 | -7.13 | -2.48 | -4.83 | -2.65 |
| 15 | 0 | 2.41 | 0.77 | 1.57 | 6.72 | 2.74 | 4.70 | 0.72 | 0.51 | 0.62 | 2.25 |
| 16 | 0 | 1.39 | 0.13 | 0.77 | 1.54 | 0.80 | 1.22 | 3.52 | -2.61 | 0.38 | 0.78 |
| 17 | 0 | 2.53 | 2.20 | 2.37 | 1.53 | 1.26 | 1.39 | 0.24 | 1.38 | 0.80 | 1.51 |
| 18 | 0 | -0.25 | -0.89 | -0.66 | 6.91 | -2.48 | 2.01 | 1.77 | 1.04 | 1.85 | 1.08 |
| 19 | 0 | 5.14 | 2.83 | 4.01 | 3.67 | 3.71 | 3.69 | 8.18 | 2.64 | 5.36 | 4.36 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.13 | 3.07 | 1.58 | 0.26 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 1.10 | 1.49 | 1.30 | 1.02 |
| 21 | 0 | 0.88 | 3.31 | 206 | 2.20 | 4.84 | 3.51 | 1.12 | 1.39 | 1.26 | 2.26 |
| 22 | 0 | 5.81 | 8.48 | 7.03 | 11.51 | 3.76 | 7.67 | 0.78 | 7.44 | 4.06 | 6.25 |
| 23 | 0 | 6.08 | 5.25 | 6.17 | 3.17 | 6.11 | 4.62 | 3.78 | 275 | 3.27 | 4.34 |
| 24 | 0 | 1.03 | 2.29 | 1.67 | -0.50 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.37 | -0.50 | -0.06 | 0.52 |
| 25 | 0 | -1.34 | 0.54 | -0.41 | 0.54 | -0.13 | 0.20 | 1.74 | -0.65 | 0.53 | 0.11 |
| 26 | 0 | 3.23 | 7.79 | 5.50 | -4.98 | 0.88 | -207 | 1227 | 8.39 | 9.31 | 4.27 |
| 27 | 0 | -0.37 | -1.01 | -0.69 | -5.88 | -6.68 | -8.32 | -7.32 | -4.24 | -5.77 | -4.30 |
| 28 | 0 | 4.71 | 3.80 | 4.15 | -0.25 | 0.37 | 0.06 | -0.24 | -1.53 | -0.88 | 1.08 |
| 29 | 0 | 262 | 4.34 | 3.48 | -1.23 | 1.89 | 0.41 | -4.61 | 4.17 | -0.38 | 1.15 |
| 30 | 0 | 264 | 209 | 2.38 | 3.34 | 0.38 | 1.85 | -0.24 | 4.18 | 1.92 | 2.05 |
| 31 | 0 | 2.05 | 4.18 | 3.10 | 3.04 | 221 | 263 | 8.08 | 3.39 | 5.70 | 3.79 |
| 32 | 0 | 0.38 | -0.51 | -0.08 | -3.73 | -0.38 | -208 | -1.48 | -0.75 | -1.12 | -1.08 |
| 33 | 0 | 2.47 | 3.41 | 2.83 | 5.23 | -6.16 | -0.47 | -1.71 | 0.00 | -0.88 | 0.50 |
| 34 | 0 | -1.87 | 3.20 | 0.58 | 0.38 | 0.13 | 0.28 | -1.21 | 3.02 | 0.88 | 0.57 |
| 35 | 0 | -0.60 | 2.89 | 1.11 | -6.29 | 0.50 | -2.44 | 1.65 | 0.94 | 1.24 | -0.02 |
| 36 | 0 | 0.25 | -1.26 | -0.50 | 9.91 | -1.59 | 3.98 | 1.23 | -1.51 | -0.12 | 1.11 |
| 37 | 0 | 4.82 | 2.04 | 3.44 | 3.71 | 0.40 | 2.07 | 3.08 | 3.06 | 3.07 | 2.86 |
| 38 | 0 | -3.85 | -0.82 | -2.43 | -5.32 | 245 | -1.52 | -0.76 | 0.88 | 0.06 | -1.29 |
| 39 | 0 | 0.80 | 1.64 | 1.22 | -1.36 | 3.43 | 0.66 | 236 | 1.89 | 2.13 | 1.44 |
| 40 | 0 | 233 | 3.75 | -0.88 | 0.63 | 0.13 | 0.38 | -0.25 | 0.89 | 0.31 | 0.00 |
| 41 | 0 | -1.52 | -1.06 | -1.29 | -6.10 | -0.63 | -3.34 | 0.00 | 2.08 | 1.03 | -1.16 |
| 42 | 0 | -0.13 | 1.30 | 0.69 | 0.27 | 0.13 | 0.20 | -3.28 | 1.03 | -1.16 | -0.13 |
| 43 | 0 | 0.83 | 4.27 | 2.59 | -0.26 | -0.52 | . 0.46 | 3.40 | 1.41 | 2.33 | 1.57 |
| 44 | 0 | 0.26 | 0.66 | 0.39 | -1.87 | -1.20 | -1.63 | 4.34 | 0.00 | 2.05 | 0.40 |
| 45 | 0 | 2.55 | 4.40 | 3.47 | 0.38 | -0.12 | 0.13 | . 6.64 | 1.73 | . 2.54 | 0.29 |
| 46 | 0 | -0.37 | -0.38 | -0.44 | 3.23 | 2.45 | 0.37 | 262 | 4.29 | 3.38 | 1.21 |
| 47 | 0 | 0.24 | -4.40 | -2.16 | 1.32 | 0.75 | 1.03 | 0.00 | 1.64 | 0.82 | -0.16 |
| 48 | 0 | 3.17 | 0.68 | 1.92 | 6.62 | . 2.33 | 1.46 | 3.78 | -1.05 | 1.31 | 1.56 |
| 49 | 0 | 0.13 | 1.28 | 0.70 | 1.68 | 3.47 | 2.60 | -1.85 | -1.35 | -1.71 | 0.50 |
| 50 | 0 | -1.78 | -2.30 | . 2.04 | -211 | 2.75 | 0.25 | 87.16 | 0.38 | 1.09 | -. 28 |


| 51 | 0 | 2.44 | 1.58 | 1.96 | 4.50 | 1.61 | 3.20 | 4.29 | 7.28 | 6.68 | 3.67 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | 0 | 5.70 | 4.62 | 5.09 | 0.90 | 5.03 | 282 | . 5.24 | 0.81 | . 2.39 | 1.88 |
| 53 | 0 | 0.13 | 1.28 | 0.69 | -0.13 | 2.14 | 0.98 | 4.18 | 4.22 | 4.21 | 1.94 |
| 54 | 0 | 3.12 | 225 | 2.68 | 1.24 | 233 | 1.73 | 2.08 | -1.80 | 0.06 | 1.47 |
| 55 | 0 | 2.60 | 0.53 | 1.51 | -8.18 | 4.85 | -1.73 | -229 | 1.78 | -0.37 | -0.11 |
| 56 | 0 | 1.74 | 4.22 | 2.89 | 0.13 | -0.13 | 0.00 | 6.59 | 3.55 | 4.98 | 2.68 |
| 57 | 0 | 4.15 | 4.87 | 4.44 | 2.67 | 4.78 | 1.08 | 4.37 | 7.54 | 5.68 | 3.91 |
| 58 | 0 | -1.26 | 6.04 | 235 | 1.01 | 3.08 | 2.03 | -1.58 | 3.52 | 0.91 | 1.75 |
| 88 | 0 | 4.18 | 4.41 | 4.22 | -0.14 | -0.67 | -0.48 | -1.08 | -0.26 | -0.72 | 1.04 |
| 60 | 0 | 1.28 | 0.68 | 0.97 | 0.78 | 2.08 | 1.42 | -0.98 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.73 |
| 61 | 0 | 2.81 | 4.53 | 3.60 | 1.83 | -0.26 | 0.78 | 7.62 | 5.46 | 6.49 | 3.62 |
| 62 | 0 | 4.56 | -0.94 | 1.73 | -4.37 | -1.50 | -2.94 | 0.39 | 1.85 | 1.11 | 0.02 |
| 63 | 0 | 20.86 | 21.71 | 241 | 18.29 | 19.29 | -4.13 | 27.29 | 24.00 | 4.95 | 1.07 |
| 64 | 0 | 3.52 | 2.35 | 2.86 | -0.38 | 1.09 | 0.32 | -2.16 | 0.89 | -0.68 | 0.80 |
| 65 | 0 | 6.67 | -0.13 | 262 | 3.14 | 0.26 | 1.69 | 1.12 | 2.23 | 2.03 | 2.06 |
| 68 | 0 | -2.29 | 250 | 0.07 | 1.88 | 202 | 1.93 | -1.17 | 1.62 | 0.20 | 0.69 |
| 87 | 0 | -0.25 | 3.91 | 1.82 | -0.88 | 3.21 | 1.17 | -0.25 | 1.28 | 0.60 | 1.18 |
| 68 | 0 | 9.29 | 7.71 | 8.50 | 10.71 | 9.14 | 9.83 | 11.71 | 12.86 | 1228 | 10.24 |
| 69 | 0 | -1.71 | -238 | -203 | -1.62 | 2.03 | 0.25 | -3.25 | -2.19 | . 272 | -3.63 |
| 70 | 0 | 2.27 | 4.62 | 3.44 | 2.88 | 5.17 | 4.04 | 5.89 | 3.19 | 4.69 | 4.02 |
| 71 | 0 | 0.89 | 1.27 | 1.08 | -4.04 | 3.50 | -0.38 | 0.12 | 3.18 | 1.63 | 0.78 |
| 72 | 0 | -0.12 | 6.46 | 3.07 | 1.59 | 2.12 | 1.85 | -1.24 | -0.50 | -0.87 | 1.32 |
| 73 | 0 | -0.41 | -4.56 | -2.50 | 2.18 | 2.09 | 2.14 | -1. 86 | -1.19 | -1. 62 | -0.65 |
| 74 | 0 | 1.34 | 3.12 | 2.22 | 3.78 | 0.37 | 2.07 | 1.81 | 0.13 | 1.01 | 1.77 |
| 75 | 0 | 238 | 263 | 250 | -1.28 | 3.65 | 1.20 | 8.61 | 287 | 5.78 | 3.22 |
| 78 | 0 | 1.72 | 3.61 | 2.60 | 0.91 | 0.13 | 0.52 | 1.17 | -0.89 | 0.13 | 1.07 |
| 77 | 0 | -2.68 | 3.41 | 0.25 | -1.78 | -0.88 | -1.33 | -2.84 | 0.99 | -1.02 | -0.70 |
| 78 | 0 | 3.05 | 3.58 | 3.32 | -1.67 | 4.30 | 1.34 | -1.45 | 3.15 | 0.80 | 1.81 |
| 79 | 0 | 5.74 | 6.48 | 6.11 | 3.01 | 1.39 | 2.17 | 2.30 | 6.03 | 4.18 | 4.18 |
| 80 | 0 | 0.65 | 2.78 | 1.70 | 0.82 | 0.00 | 0.48 | -247 | -1.22 | -1.85 | 0.04 |
| 81 | 0 | 2.93 | -1.77 | 0.61 | -2.71 | 2.65 | -0.07 | -3.07 | -2.11 | -2.69 | -0.75 |
| 82 | 0 | 3.40 | 8.09 | 5.71 | 4.89 | -4.55 | 0.12 | 3.45 | 2.53 | 2.89 | 2.80 |
| 83 | 0 | 0.00 | 1.72 | 0.85 | -1.15 | 6.87 | 2.88 | -2.02 | 3.04 | 0.48 | 1.43 |
| 84 | 0 | 3.55 | 0.25 | 1.90 | 4.64 | 0.87 | 278 | 4.46 | 1.48 | 2.88 | 2.66 |
| 85 | 0 | 3.32 | 1.54 | 2.43 | 4.21 | -3.88 | 0.12 | -5.86 | 2.82 | -1.66 | 0.25 |
| 88 | 0 | 5.09 | . 7.48 | -1.38 | -0.88 | 3.38 | 1.33 | 0.84 | -0.48 | 0.24 | 0.04 |
| 87 | 0 | 5.71 | 4.38 | 5.08 | 7.93 | -5.36 | 1.28 | 1.28 | -3.64 | -1.21 | 1.64 |
| 88 | 0 | 0.94 | -0.94 | 0.00 | 0.81 | 4.46 | 2.61 | 2.99 | -2.31 | 0.32 | 0.98 |
| 88 | 0 | 3.16 | 0.38 | 1.77 | 4.01 | 0.25 | 212 | 3.61 | -0.12 | 1.72 | 1.87 |
| 80 | 0 | -0.86 | 7.49 | 3.21 | 200 | 1.47 | 1.73 | 203 | 4.03 | 0.82 | 1.94 |
| 91 | 0 | 3.57 | -1.16 | 1.18 | 212 | -0.78 | 0.85 | -1.47 | 2.36 | 0.43 | 0.75 |
| 82 | 0 | 2.53 | -1.14 | 0.65 | 3.87 | 1.84 | 2.86 | 2.33 | 1.77 | 2.05 | 1.85 |
| 83 | 0 | 254 | 4.67 | 3.69 | -1.68 | 1.15 | $-0.26$ | 1.68 | 2.04 | 1.85 | 1.73 |
| 94 | 0 | 0.13 | -0.13 | 0.00 | 3.16 | 231 | 2.74 | 3.33 | 3.37 | 3.35 | 2.05 |
| 95 | 0 | 0.12 | 2.85 | -1.41 | 0.00 | -2.10 | -1.07 | -1.68 | -0.24 | -0.98 | -1.14 |
| 86 | 0 | 3.59 | 282 | 3.25 | 6.67 | 0.36 | 3.49 | 1.81 | 258 | 225 | 2.99 |
| 87 | 0 | 3.99 | -1.23 | 1.32 | 0.38 | 0.13 | 0.25 | -1.81 | 1.23 | -0.19 | 0.48 |
| 98 | 0 | 3.03 | 1.27 | 215 | 274 | 3.30 | 3.02 | 4.33 | 0.87 | 2.55 | 2.57 |
| 88 | 0 | 222 | 7.60 | 4.75 | 1.00 | 5.87 | 3.60 | 4.84 | -0.48 | 2.20 | 3.48 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.38 | -0.13 | 0.13 | -3.67 | 4.76 | 0.60 | 2.44 | 229 | 2.38 | 1.03 |
| 101 | 0 | 1.48 | -0.86 | 0.31 | -0.13 | -0.37 | -0.26 | -0.12 | 0.48 | 0.18 | 0.08 |
| 102 | 0 | 0.93 | -2.70 | -0.82 | 3.07 | 0.28 | 1.68 | 4.53 | -273 | 0.82 | 0.52 |
| 103 | 0 | -1.61 | 3.80 | 1.16 | 1.28 | -0.53 | 0.39 | 0.26 | 2.26 | 1.26 | 0.83 |
| 104 | 0 | 12.65 | 4.10 | 8.10 | 2.57 | 2.41 | 249 | 0.80 | -2.15 | -0.84 | 3.17 |
| 105 | 0 | 1.26 | -4.14 | -1.49 | -1.31 | 0.13 | -0.58 | -1.65 | -2.42 | -2.04 | -1.37 |
| 106 | 0 | 2.64 | 0.12 | 1.39 | 6.32 | 8.82 | 7.61 | 5.98 | 3.12 | 4.54 | 4.48 |
| 107 | 0 | 2.82 | 1.71 | 2.27 | -4.14 | 0.12 | 2.03 | -0.36 | 0.00 | -0.18 | 0.00 |
| 108 | 0 | 0.99 | 2.50 | 1.74 | -208 | 3.31 | 0.84 | 1.74 | 3.88 | 280 | 1.74 |
| 109 | 0 | -1.68 | 0.13 | 0.86 | 7.89 | 2.62 | 5.15 | 8.07 | 8.61 | 8.84 | 4.30 |
| 110 | 0 | 0.60 | -18.74 | -8.99 | -0.87 | 4.04 | 1.63 | 0.24 | -1.91 | -0.84 | -2.74 |
| 119 | 0 | 1.26 | 1.49 | 1.38 | 0.51 | 0.28 | 0.38 | 3.20 | 0.73 | 1.99 | 1.27 |
| 112 | 0 | -0.24 | 5.48 | 2.51 | 0.00 | . 5.62 | -2.90 | -2.89 | -0.72 | -1.71 | -0.73 |
| 113 | 0 | 0.75 | 215 | 1.44 | -0.12 | -1.68 | -0.80 | 2.77 | 5.56 | 4.15 | 1.55 |
| 114 | 0 | 5.69 | 2.14 | 3.91 | 0.78 | -2.19 | -0.71 | -1.58 | 0.68 | -0.37 | 0.88 |


| 115 | 0 | 1.62 | 0.37 | 0.99 | -1.16 | -3.57 | -2.38 | 1.09 | -1.39 | -0.18 | -0.51 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 118 | 0 | -2.38 | . 2.51 | -2.24 | 0.00 | -0.12 | -0.08 | -3.80 | 1.80 | -0.99 | -1.17 |
| 117 | 0 | 0.25 | 1.85 | 0.94 | 2.77 | -4.32 | -0.81 | 1.07 | 5.73 | 3.34 | 1.18 |
| 118 | 0 | 0.12 | 2.38 | 1.24 | 0.12 | 6.52 | 3.25 | -3.07 | 3.89 | 0.30 | 1.59 |
| 119 | 0 | 0.13 | 0.39 | 0.28 | 2.73 | 1.18 | 1.95 | 0.52 | 0.82 | 0.72 | c. 96 |
| 120 | 0 | 217 | 3.78 | 2.97 | 4.18 | 4.63 | 4.41 | 2.54 | 218 | 237 | 3.23 |
| 121 | 0 | 4.05 | 214 | 3.09 | -2.41 | 1.88 | -0.32 | -1.33 | -233 | -1.82 | 0.26 |
| 122 | 0 | 1.62 | 4.87 | 3.07 | -0.51 | 1.81 | 0.64 | -1.01 | 1.83 | 0.45 | 1.39 |
| 123 | 0 | . 10.73 | . 13.40 | -1204 | - 11.63 | -14.73 | -13.16 | -8.73 | . 7.78 | -8.26 | . 11.10 |
| 124 | 0 | 3.68 | 0.48 | 215 | 0.13 | 2.57 | 1.37 | -3.86 | -0.36 | -2.11 | 0.45 |
| 125 | 0 | -0.28 | 3.22 | 1.50 | -0.92 | -2.30 | -1.62 | 4.77 | 1.94 | 3.35 | 1.08 |
| 128 | 0 | 3.25 | -0.64 | 1.29 | 3.54 | -1.18 | 1.14 | -0.99 | 0.99 | -0.99 | 0.45 |
| 127 | 0 | 3.53 | 3.27 | 3.40 | -0.92 | -1.68 | -1.30 | 2.08 | 1.41 | 1.74 | 1.29 |
| 128 | 0 | -2.04 | 1.60 | -0.26 | -3.18 | -5.02 | -4.11 | -1.03 | 1.68 | 0.32 | -1.33 |
| 129 | 0 | 0.12 | 0.76 | 0.44 | 2.00 | 3.27 | 3.00 | 5.10 | 4.10 | 4.68 | 2.74 |
| 130 | 0 | 3.01 | 214 | 258 | 1.17 | -0.78 | 0.18 | 1.02 | 0.13 | 0.57 | 1.12 |
| 131 | 1 | -229 | 5.42 | 3.87 | 6.55 | -0.35 | 2.50 | -1.24 | 1.28 | 0.00 | -0.48 |
| 132 | 1 | 3.84 | 4.80 | 2.43 | -1.11 | 0.12 | 2.01 | 3.89 | 3.07 | 3.48 | 2.64 |
| 133 | 1 | 3.68 | 252 | 3.10 | 1.58 | 3.78 | 267 | 1.20 | -1.91 | -0.36 | 1.77 |
| 134 | 1 | -7.61 | -2.21 | -4.98 | -2.10 | 0.00 | 3.18 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.60 | -0.51 |
| 135 | 1 | 3.23 | 15.18 | 8.07 | 18.47 | 3.69 | 11.44 | 282 | -0.78 | 0.98 | 7.01 |
| 136 | 1 | -0.72 | -0.37 | -0.55 | 4.98 | . 3.24 | 0.80 | 2.55 | 1.14 | 1.88 | 0.70 |
| 137 | 1 | 1.81 | 7.80 | 4.80 | 0.75 | 11.77 | 5.92 | 3.73 | 0.72 | 2.24 | 4.34 |
| 138 | 1 | 0.91 | 0.13 | 0.52 | -0.89 | 0.51 | -0.18 | -2.83 | 2.08 | -0.42 | -0.04 |
| 139 | 1 | 0.81 | -4.39 | -1.81 | -3.45 | -1.60 | -252 | $-1.00$ | 0.51 | -0.25 | -1.51 |
| 140 | 1 | 4.37 | 2.88 | 3.69 | 12.56 | 8.88 | 10.75 | -0.80 | 212 | 0.60 | 4.85 |
| 141 | 1 | -1.27 | 2.4 | 0.52 | -0.38 | 2.88 | 1.23 | -1.48 | -0.62 | -1.05 | 0.21 |
| 142 | 1 | 2.85 | 1.32 | 2.12 | -4.51 | -4.59 | -4.55 | -4.88 | -6.48 | -5.68 | -2.79 |
| 143 | 1 | 1.23 | -1.36 | -0.08 | 0.12 | 1.22 | 0.67 | -0.80 | 4.95 | 2.06 | 0.82 |
| 144 | 1 | 0.12 | 0.99 | 0.56 | 3.01 | 4.65 | 3.83 | -1.63 | 2.31 | 0.31 | 1.54 |
| 145 | 1 | 0.61 | 1.85 | 1.23 | 2.30 | 2.89 | 2.59 | 0.49 | -0.37 | 0.06 | 1.28 |
| 146 | 2 | -2.74 | -0.49 | -1.63 | 1.43 | 0.00 | 0.72 | 3.00 | 3.99 | 3.49 | 0.88 |
| 147 | 2 | 264 | 3.18 | 281 | -0.52 | 0.82 | 0.20 | 3.87 | 1.71 | 284 | 1.97 |
| 148 | 2 | -1.94 | 0.00 | 2.00 | -2.35 | 0.00 | -0.32 | 224 | 0.00 | 1.12 | 0.93 |
| 149 | 2 | 0.76 | 7.41 | 4.07 | 2.53 | 6.05 | 4.28 | -7.09 | 2.76 | -235 | 1.92 |
| 150 | 2 | -1.34 | 0.00 | -0.27 | -3.07 | 3.80 | 0.34 | 6.20 | 1.58 | 3.41 | 1.18 |
| 151 | 2 | 2.46 | 1.88 | 2.12 | 15.21 | 1.58 | 8.35 | -1.17 | 7.43 | 3.07 | 4.49 |
| 152 | 2 | 2.18 | 4.44 | 3.30 | 3.52 | -1.36 | 1.02 | -0.73 | 0.25 | -0.31 | 4.31 |
| 153 | 2 | 2.61 | 4.88 | 3.64 | 14.37 | 13.70 | 14.04 | -0.82 | -2.18 | -1.51 | 5.27 |
| 154 | 2 | -1.24 | 1.48 | 0.12 | 0.75 | 3.93 | 2.23 | 8.83 | 1.44 | 6.50 | 2.66 |
| 155 | 2 | 1.72 | 1.87 | 1.79 | 1.09 | -1.20 | -0.07 | 0.67 | 4.98 | 2.78 | 1.60 |
| 156 | 2 | 0.38 | 1.68 | 1.02 | 1.75 | 1.64 | 1.65 | 0.23 | 1.31 | 0.76 | 1.13 |
| 157 | 2 | -2.21 | 240 | 0.00 | 2.14 | 0.85 | 1.48 | 4.27 | 0.12 | 215 | 1.18 |
| 158 | 2 | 5.68 | 2.08 | 3.68 | 7.81 | 1.01 | 4.88 | -1.28 | 0.28 | -0.51 | 2.74 |
| 159 | 2 | 5.26 | -2.63 | 1.17 | 0.27 | -0.77 | -0.28 | 0.39 | -1.16 | -0.39 | 0.17 |
| 180 | 2 | 2.35 | 3.38 | 2.88 | 2.88 | 3.71 | 3.35 | $\cdot 1.48$ | 272 | 0.57 | 2.23 |
| 161 | 2 | 3.15 | 1.63 | 2.34 | 1.58 | 3.25 | 2.42 | 1.12 | 0.98 | 1.05 | 1.93 |
| 162 | 3 | 3.53 | 6.46 | 4.97 | -228 | -0.13 | -1.20 | -1.68 | -3.16 | -2.42 | 0.47 |
| 163 | 3 | 1.61 | -0.12 | 0.73 | -8.76 | 0.48 | -6.39 | -2.35 | -1.43 | -1.91 | -2.28 |
| 184 | 3 | 3.62 | -3.16 | 0.08 | 4.80 | 0.81 | 273 | 9.12 | 1.95 | 5.81 | 2.85 |
| 165 | 3 | 2.14 | 1.86 | 205 | 14.09 | 4.48 | 8.21 | 6.14 | 6.35 | 6.25 | 5.85 |
| 166 | 3 | -0.36 | 2.52 | 1.04 | -0.49 | 0.38 | -0.08 | -0.95 | 264 | 0.79 | 0.58 |
| 167 | 3 | 4.55 | -1.32 | 1.68 | 1.14 | 3.35 | 228 | -0.63 | 0.88 | 0.13 | 1.33 |
| 168 | 3 | -7.61 | -227 | -5.04 | 11.26 | 2.04 | 6.68 | 5.80 | 6.62 | 6.25 | 2.54 |
| 189 | 3 | -7.28 | -1.29 | -4.38 | 7.93 | -0.12 | 3.86 | 3.38 | 4.77 | 4.07 | 1.15 |
| 170 | 3 | 0.00 | 3.68 | 1.76 | 0.88 | 3.03 | 1.85 | 0.37 | 0.25 | 0.31 | 1.33 |

## Appendix J

## $t$ - Test Analyses

Comparison of \% Mean Change in Cohort

## California Achievement Test Scores

Grade Nine to Ten

## Comparison of Mean \% Change

## Total Battery



## Comparison of Mean \% Change Total Battery

Non-Music vs. Instrumental Music Students

|  | Non-Music | Instrumental |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 1.20 | 1.34 |
| Variance | 4.65 | 6.12 |
| Stan Dev | 2.16 | 2.47 |
| Standard Error of Mean | 0.19 | 0.64 |
| Standard Error of Difference |  |  |
|  | t |  |
|  | $p$ |  |

## Comparison of Mean \% Change

## Total Battery



## Comparison of Mean \% Change <br> Total Battery

## Non-Music vs. Vocal \& Inst. Students

|  | Non-Music | Inst. \& Vocal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 1.20 | 1.54 |
| Variance | 4.65 | 4.23 |
| Stan Dev | 216 | 2.06 |
| Standard Error of Mean | 0.19 | 0.69 |
| Standard Error of Difference |  |  |
|  | $t$ |  |
|  | $p$ |  |

## References:

A Nation at Risk: The Imperative for Educational Reform, report of the National Commission on Excellence in Education (1983). Washington, DC: Government Printing Office.

American Association for the Advancement of Science, Science for All Americans: A Project 2061 Report on Literacy Goals in Science, Mathematics and Technology (1989) Washington DC: American Association for the Advancement of Science.

Aristotle, The Politics, Lord edition, p. 234.
Barkoczi, Ilona (1985) Psychological examination of the Kodaly method of musical training. In Kardos, L, (Ed) Studies in Creativity, 109-162.

Bloom, Allen Bloom. (1987). The Closing of the American Mind. New York: Simon and Schuster, Inc.

Brothers, L. et. al. (1989). ed. R. Cotterill. Models of Brain Function. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Cornock, S., (1984) Implications of lateralization of brain function for art education: a critical review, Educational Psychology, 2, No. 2, 139-153.

Critchley, M., \& Henson, R.A., (Eds) Music and The Brain: Studies in the Neurology of Music, London, William Heinemann Medical Books Limited.

Damasio, A., \& Damasio, H., (1977) Musical faculty and cerebral dominance. In Critchley, M., \& Henson, R.A., (Eds) Music and The Brain: Studies in the Neurology of Music, London, William Heinemann Medical Books Limited, 141-155.

Davidson, R.J., \& Schwartz G.E., (1977) The influence of musical training on patterns of EEG asymmetry during musical and non-musical self-generation tasks, Psychophysiology, 14, No. 1, 58-63.

Dickinson, Dee. (1993). Music and the Mind, New Horizons for Learning, Seattle, WA.
Eisner, Elliot W. (1985) Cognition and Curriculum: A Basis for Deciding What to Teach, p. 74 found in Beyond Creating: The Place for Art in America's Schools, A Report by the Getty Center for Education in the Arts, April.

ETS. (1995). 1995 College-Bound Seniors National Report: Profile of SAT Program Test Takers, The College Entrance Examination Board, Princeton, NJ.

Elliot, P.C., (1986), Right (or left) brain cognition, wrong metaphor for creative behavior: it is prefrontal lobe volition that makes the (human/humane) difference in the release of creative potential, The Journal of Creative Behavior, 20, No. 3, 202-214.

Fischer, K. \& Rose, S.P., (1994) Dynamic development of coordination of components in brain and behavior: a framework for theory and research. In Fischer, K. \& Dawson, G. (Eds.) Human Behavior and the Developing Brain, New York, Guilford Press, 3-66.

Fox, Gardiner, Jeffrey, and Knowles. (1996, May 23). as reported in Nature.
Gardiner M.F., Fox, A., Knowles F., \& Jeffrey D., (1996) Learning improved by arts training, Nature, 381, May 23, pg. 284.

Gardner, Howard. (1982). Art, Mind and Brain - A Cognitive Approach to Creativity, Basic Books.

Goldberg, E., \& Costa, L.D. (1981) Hemisphere differences in the acquisition and use of descriptive systems, Brain and Language, 14, 144-173.

Goleman, Daniel, (1995) Emotional Intelligence, New York, Bantam Books.
Hamblin, K. (1995) Theories and research that support art instruction for instrumental outcomes, Theories Into Practice, 32, No. 4, 191-198.

Harth, E., (1993) The Creative Loop, New York, Addison-Wesley, p 94.
Hassler, M., (1990) Functional cerebral asymmetries and cognitive abilities in musicians, painters, and controls, Brain And Cognition ,13, 1-17.

Henson, R.A., (1988) Neurological Aspects of Musical Experience. In Critchley, M., \& Henson, R.A., (Eds) Music and The Brain: Studies in the Neurology of Music, London, William Heinemann Medical Books Limited, 3-21.

Hudspeth, C., (1986) The cognitive and behavioral consequences of using music and poetry in a fourth grade language arts classroom, (Ph.D. dissertation.) Texas Women's University, Denton, Texas, Dissertation Abstracts International, 47, (8-1), 2884.

Joseph, R., (1988) The right cerebral hemisphere: emotion, music, visual-spatial skills, body-image, dreams, and awareness, Journal of Clinical Psychology, 44, No. 5, 633-661.

Kalliopuska, M., \& Ruokonen, L., (1993) A study with a follow-up of the effects of music education on holistic development of empathy, Perceptual and Motor Skills, 76, 131-137.

Kodaly Center of America. (1990). Correspondence. 201 Wayland Ave., Providence, RI
Leng Xiaodan et. al. (1990). Mapping Higher Cognitive Functions. Music Perception. Vol. 8, No.1, 49-62.

Leng, X. et. al. (1991). Music as Pre-Language. Concepts in Neuroscience, vol. 2. 229-258.

Levine, Mekvin D. (1994). Educational Care. Cambridge, MA. Educators Publishing Service, Inc. 2.

Levine, M. (1994). 136.

Licht, R., Bakker, D.J., Kok, A., \& Bouma, A., (1988) The development of lateral event-related potentials (ERPs) related to word naming: a four year longitudinal study, Neuropsychologia, 26, No. 2, 327-340

Netherlands National Institute for Educational Measurement (CITO), 1988.
OBoyle, M., Alexander, J., \& Benbow, C., (1991) Enhanced right hemisphere activation in the mathematically precocious: A preliminary EEG investigation, Brain and Cognition, 17, No. 2, 138 -153

Ostwald, P., \& Morrison, D., (1988) Music in the organization of childhood experience. In Morrison, D. (Ed), Organizing Early Experience: Imagination and Cognition in Childhood, Amityville, NY, Baywood Publishing Co., 54-73.

Rauscher F., Shaw, G.L., Levine L.J., Ky, K.N., \& Wright, E.L.(1994) Music and spatial task performance: a causal relationship. Presented at the American Psychological Association 102nd Annual Convention in LA, CA.

Rauscher, F.H., Shaw, G.l., \& Ky, K.N., (1993) Music and spatial task performance, Nature, 365, October 14, pg. 611.

Rauscher, et. al. (1995). The Mozart Effect. Neuroscience Letters. vol. 185. 44-47.
Rauscher/Shaw.(February, 1997). Music training causes long-term enhancement of preschool children's spatial reasoning, Neurological Research, vol. 19

Rideout, B.E., \& Laubach, C., (1996) EEG correlates of enhanced spatial performance following exposure to music, Perceptual and Motor Skills, 82, 427-432.

Roberts, T., \& Kraft, R.H., (1989) Developmental differences in the relationship between reading comprehension and hemispheric alpha patterns: an EEG study, Journal of Educational Psychology, 81, No. 3, 322-328.

Samson, S., \& Zatorre, R.J., (1992) Learning and retention of melodic and verbal information after unilateral temporal lobectomy, Neuropsychologia, 30, No. 9, 815-826.

Schlaug, G., Jancke, L., Huang, Y., \& Steinmetz, H., (1995) In vivo evidence of structural brain asymmetry in musicians, Science, 267, February 3, 699-701.

Shaw, G., Silverman, D., Pearson, J. (1985), Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 82: 2364-2368.

Shreeve, J. (1996) Music of the hemispheres, Discover, October 1996, 90-100.
Springer, S., \& Deutsch, G. (1985), Left Brain, Right Brain: Revised Edition, New York, W.H. Freeman and Company, pages 187-204.

Thatcher, R.W., (1994) Cyclic cortical reorganization: origins of human cognitive development. In Fischer, K. \& Dawson, G. (Eds.) Human Behavior and the Developing Brain, New York, Guilford Press, 232-267.

The Republic of Plato, Comfield edition (Oxford University Press, 1961), p. 90.
Thomas, Lewis. (1994, February). The case for music in our schools, Phi Delta Kappan.
United States Department of Education, U.S. Study of Education in Japan, (Washington, DC: Government Printing Office, January, 1987).

Venerable, Grant. (1989). The Paradox of the Silicon Savior as cited in The Case for Sequential Music Education in the Core Curriculum of the Public Schools, The Center for the Arts in the Basic Curriculum, New York.

Vincent, M.C., \& Merrion, M. (1990) The musical mind considered: a new frontier, Design for Arts in Education, 92, No 1, Sept/Oct,11-18.

Welch, N., (1995) Schools, Communities and the Arts: A Research Compendium, Arizona, Morrison Institute for Public Policy.

Wertheim, N., (1977) Is there anatomical localisation for musical faculties?. In Critchley, M., \& Henson, R.A., (Eds) Music and The Brain: Studies in the Neurology of Music, London, William Heinemann Medical Books Limited, 282-297.

## Biographical Data

| Name | James R. Ponter |
| :--- | :--- |
| High School | Glassboro High School <br> Glassboro, NJ |
| Undergraduate | Bachelor of Arts <br> Secondary Education <br> Glassboro State College <br> Glassboro, NJ |
|  |  |
|  | Master of Arts |
| Graduate | Secondary Science Teaching |
|  | Glassboro State College |
|  | Master of Arts |
|  | School Administration |
|  | Rowan University |
| Glassboro, NJ |  |
| Present Occupation | Supervisor of Curriculum, |
|  | Testing Coordinator, |
|  | Entitlements Coordinator, |
|  | Supervisor or Mathematics and |
|  | Science |
|  | Rancocas Valley Regional |
|  | High School District |
|  | Mount Holly, NJ |

